

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10846 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-259 Year-2023 Thana- PIPRA District- East Champaran

=====

Munil Patel S/O Raghav Patel @ Raghav Raut @ Ragho patel @ Ragho raut
Resident of village- Kaithawaliya, P.S.- Kalyanpur, District- East Champaran
... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nirmala Kumari, A.P.P.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

8 09-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. This is the second attempt on behalf of the petitioner for grant of bail in connection with Pipra P.S. Case No.259 of 2023, registered for the offence under Sections 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner has been rejected vide order dated 26.06.2024 passed in Cr. Misc. No.42972 of 2024, which reads as under:-

“Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Pipra P.S. Case No. 259 of 2023 registered for the offence under Section 392 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the motorcycle of the petitioner was snatched and during



investigation, the role of the petitioner has come in the same.

4. The petitioner is in custody since 9.10.2023 and he has criminal antecedents also.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Accordingly, this application is dismissed.

7. The Court below is directed to expedite the trial of the petitioner and conclude the same at the earliest. If the trial is delayed due to the fault of the prosecution side, then the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner has prayed for bail of the petitioner on the ground of custody and delay in trial.

5. From the report, it appears that the accused persons are delaying the trial by not accepting the police paper.

6. Considering the gravity of the offence and the fact that the delaying acts by the accused persons including the petitioner, I am not inclined to review my earlier order.

7. Accordingly, the application stands dismissed.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

mdrashid/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

