

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3891 of 2026

=====

Khurshid Son of Md kasim, Village-Sakin-Ram Nagar Tola Kumhiya, Ward
No.-04 Police Station- Palasi, District-Araria (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the chief Secretary Govt of Bihar, Patna.
2. The additional Chief Secretary, Department of Revenue and land reforms
Department, government of Bihar Patna.
3. The collector, Araria
4. The Circle officer, Palasi, Araria

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Shivam Perna, Advocate
	:	Mr. Shardanand, Advocate
	:	Ms. Loverly Kumari, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Dhurjati Kumar Prasad, GP-14

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 31-03-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
grant of following relief(s):

*“for issuance of an appropriate writ 1, order
or direction commanding the respondents for
considering Lands of the petitioner before the
Circle Officer Palasi, District-Araria for
mutation of land bearing Khata No. 076, Khesra
no.-2478 Tauzi No.-8, Thana No.-115 Situated at
Mauza Arari 15 Decimal Ram Nagar, Thana
Palasi, Circle-Palasi Dist-Araria Which is*



pending mutation before the Circle Officer, Palasi, District-Araria, petitioner filed objection petition before the competent authorities and also represented before Authorities but till date no action taken on the representation and/or for any other order/s as your Lordships may deem fit and proper under the circumstances of the Case.”

3. The matter relates to a piece of land as under:

“Khata No. 76, Khesra No. 2478, Tauzi No.8, Thana No. 115 (0.15 decimal) in Circle-Palasi, District-Araria.”

4. In the first round of litigation, the Circle Officer, Palasi rejected the claim which followed the petitioner’s family moved before the Deputy Collector, Land Reforms, Araria and vide an order dated 16.01.2025, the concerned officer set aside the order of the Circle Officer, Palasi directing him/her to take a fresh decision in the matter (Annexure-P/4 to the petition).

5. Thereafter, the petition has again been filed but the contention is that the same has not been taken to its logical conclusion.

6. Learned State counsel has drawn the attention of



this Court to the report which is part of the writ petition to show that the 'Karmchari' has recorded that the name do not match with the Jamabandi Register.

7. This Court is of the opinion that the Circle Officer, Palasi cannot hold the matter indefinitely and he/she has to take a decision on the report submitted by the 'Karmchari' as also hearing the parties if there is any confusion.

8. In that background, the writ petition is disposed of directing the Circle Officer, Palasi to take up the matter and if the same has not been disposed of, to take the same to its logical conclusion within a period of eight weeks from the date the copy of the order is handed over to his/her office.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

vinayak/-

U			
---	--	--	--

