

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10060 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-145 Year-2025 Thana- Ghogha District- Bhagalpur

Munna Yadav @ Prince Kumar Son of Ashok Yadav Resident of Village-
Adarsh Nagar Fulkiya, P.S.- Ghogha, District- Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Patna

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Swapnil Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Abhay Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2026 Heard Mr. Swapnil Kumar Singh, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Abhay Kumar,
learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection
with Ghogha P.S. Case No. 145 of 2025 registered under
Sections 25(1-B)a, 35 of the Arms Act.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the petitioner
Munna Yadav and his brother-in-law allegedly pointed a
country-made pistol at the informant with intent to kill, which
was snatched by one Munni Devi before the accused fled.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has
falsely been implicated in the present case due to a petty dispute
between neighbouring families during collection of donation on



the eve of Kali Puja, which led to a minor altercation between the petitioner and one Raja Kumar that was subsequently pacified by the villagers. He further submitted that due to previous enmity and litigations involving the family of one Munni Devi, the petitioner has been falsely implicated. Learned counsel submitted that no weapon was recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner and the alleged country-made pistol was produced by the said Munni Devi herself, while no accused was arrested from the spot. The petitioner has clean antecedents. On these grounds, the petitioner seeks to be released on pre-arrest bail.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, considering the submission that no weapon was recovered from the conscious possession of the petitioner, the alleged country-made pistol was produced by Munni Devi herself, no accused was arrested from the spot, and the petitioner has clean antecedents, I am of the opinion that the petitioner has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on anticipatory bail.

7. The learned District Court is directed to release the



petitioner on anticipatory bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned District Court where the case is pending in connection with Ghogha P.S. Case No. 145 of 2025, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482 of the BNSS//438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner, as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioner, as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Ashishsingh/-

U			
---	--	--	--

