

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10000 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-185 Year-2025 Thana- PURAINI District- Madhepura

Keshav Yadav S/O Awadh Kishore Yadav R/O Village- Ganeshpur, P.S-
Puraini, Distt.- Madhepura.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. P.N. Shahi, Sr. Advocate
For the State : Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 06-04-2026 Heard Mr. P.N. Shahi, learned Senior counsel for the
petitioner and Mr. Rabindra Kumar, learned APP for the State.

2. Petitioner seeks bail, who is in custody since
20.09.2025, in connection with Puraini P.S. Case No. 185 of 2025,
F.I.R. dated 19.09.2025 registered for the offences punishable
under Sections 103(1), 61(2), 308(2), 352, 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the accused
persons including the petitioner had earlier stopped the informant
on the way ahead of Yogiraj and asked him to pay ransom,
otherwise he would be shot dead on any day. It is said that on
19.09.2025 at 08:53 A.M.. the accused entered his shop and fired
bullet at him hitting his daughter Parwati Kumari, who died during
treatment.

4. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner submits



that although the petitioner is named in the F.I.R. but from perusal of the F.I.R. it appears that there is no specific allegation of any assault or overt act or firing attributed against the petitioner and petitioner has been made accused merely on the basis of suspicion. He further submits that co-accused person, namely, Ranjeet Kumar was apprehended and his confessional statement in paragraph-104 of the case diary was recorded in which he has not stated anything about the petitioner and even he has not stated that the petitioner has participated in the present crime in question and he has stated that one Nitish Kumar has fired upon the victim. He further submits that the police after investigation submitted chargesheet against the petitioner and the petitioner is in custody since 20.09.2025.

5. The learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the petitioner carries seven more cases other than the present one but fairly submits on the basis of paragraph-3 of the bail petition that the petitioner is on bail in the pending matters.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned



A.C.J.M. 1st, Udakishunganj, Madhepura in connection with
Puraini P.S. Case No. 185 of 2025, subject to the following
conditions :-

(1) Petitioner shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the Court and shall remain physically present as directed by the Court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

(2) If the petitioner tampers with the evidence or the witness, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

(3) And, further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in case at any stage, it is found that the petitioner has concealed his criminal antecedents, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioner. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Ibrar//-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

