

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11515 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-309 Year-2025 Thana- MAHISHI District- Saharsa

=====

Ramnath Mukhiya S/o Natoo Mukhiya @ Natu Mukhiya R/o vill -
Bhatrandha, P.S.- Ghailore, Distt.- Madhepura, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

- 1. The State of Bihar
- 2. XXX S/o YYY Na

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Fakhruddin Ali Ahmad, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Raj Kishor Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 09-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Mahishi P.S. Case No. 309 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Section 137(2), 96 of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 8 and 12 of the POCSO Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner has abducted daughter-in-law of the informant.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this



case. He also submits that during the course of investigation, the victim has given her statement recorded under Section 183 of the BNSS, wherein she has stated that she had some quarrel with her husband, due to which she went out of the house. In this way, she met the petitioner, who told her to drop at her *maika*. As she boarded on bike, he took her to his house and left her with his wife. She has further stated that while she was at the house of petitioner, he was not there. He further submits that the only allegation against the petitioner is that he took the victim to his house. No other overt act is alleged against the petitioner and the victim is a major. Moreover, a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 19.09.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Special



Court, POCSO, Saharsa in connection with Mahishi P.S. Case
No. 309 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

