

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10223 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-269 Year-2025 Thana- GOVINDGANJ District- East
Champaran

-
1. Ravibhushan Gupta @ Ravibhushan Kumar S/o- Late Asharfi Gupta R/v-
Pipra W.No-6, Ps- Govindganj Dist- East Champaran
 2. Rajan Kumar S/o- Madan Sah R/v- Pipra W.No-6, Ps- Govindganj Dist- East
Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Abhishek Kumar, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Surendra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE AJIT KUMAR

ORAL ORDER

2 25-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Govindganj P.S. Case No. 269 of 2025 dated 02.11.2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2), 74 of the Bhartiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. As per prosecution case, on 28.10.2025 six F.I.R. named accused persons arrived at residence of the Informant armed with iron rods and assaulted her son, Sanjeet Kumar, causing serious injuries upon his head and body. When the informant and other family members intervened, they were also



assaulted, dragged, and beaten by the accused persons. Upon villagers raising alarm, the accused fled away from there.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that for the same and similar allegations, there is counter case between the parties and specific allegation of overt act is against the Rithik Ranjan and as also Rahul Kumar who are said to have assaulted with iron rod upon Sanjeet Kumar, who is the Informant's son. The allegation against the petitioners is of having assaulting the Informant with fist and no injury is said to have been caused upon her. It is next submitted that the wife of the petitioner no.1 is said to have lodged a counter version against the Informant and others in which allegations of assault was made against the Informant and her family members where injuries are said to have been caused. It is lastly submitted that the petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

5. On the other hand, the learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the fact that there is a case and counter case between the parties and the only allegation against the petitioners is of assault being made by fist and fists causing no injury, this Court is inclined to grant privilege of anticipatory bail to the petitioners.



7. Accordingly, let the above named petitioners, be released on bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-1st, East Champaran, Motihari in connection with Govindganj P.S. Case No. 269 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.S. as well as the following conditions:

(i) One of the bailors should be the family member/relative/known of the petitioner(s) who shall provide official document / personal affidavit to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of their bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner(s) shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner(s) shall desist from committing any



criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty
to take steps for cancellation of their bail bonds.

(Ajit Kumar, J)

rishi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

