

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16186 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-423 Year-2023 Thana- BHAGWAN BAZAR District- Saran

Sahid Rehman @ Samu Son of Rukhsar Resident of Mohalla- Near Choti
Masjid Nai Bazar, P.S.- Bhagwan Bazar, District- Saran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar Srivastva

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Rabindra Kumar, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 11-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State, Sri Rabindra Kumar.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under 147, 148, 149, 188,
290, 153(A), 295, 295(A), 296, 337, 338, 307, 332, 333, 353,
427, 120(B) of the Indian Penal Code and Section 9 of Bihar
Control of use and Play of Loudspeaker Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
inadvertently in the order impugned, it has been recorded that
petitioner has antecedent, when petitioner is a person with clean
antecedent and the same stands specifically pleaded at para-3 of
the anticipatory bail application.

4. In view of the submission made by learned counsel
for the petitioner, the defect as pointed out by the office is



ignored.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner next submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that during the procession of immersion of Goddess Durga, when the procession reached near Masjid it was stopped and music and chanting slogan were resorted to, further some antisocial elements started throwing stones on the procession when the procession started moving ahead.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegations as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that allegations are general and omnibus in nature. It is also submitted that Arslan Khan @ Kallu along with Intekhab Khan @ Champion had approached this Court seeking anticipatory bail by filing Cr. Misc No. 39854 of 2025 and the same came to be allowed by an order dated 15-7-2025 by a learned Co-ordinate Bench.

7. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

8. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the



event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bhagwan Bazar P.S.Case No.423 of 2023 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

