

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9613 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-71 Year-2025 Thana- MAHILA P.S. District- Siwan

Vijendra Kumar Singh Son of Late Madhav Singh Resident of Village-
Bagahi, P.S.- Basantpur, District- Siwan

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. X Daughter of Y Resident of Village- Bansohi, P.S.- Basantpur, District-
Siwan

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Ashish Kumar Ranjan, Advocate Ms. Anita Kumari, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Navin Kumar Pandey, APP
For the O.P. No.2	:	Mr. Chandra Mohan Jha, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 06-05-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner; learned APP for the State and learned counsel for the

informant.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Siwan Mahila P.S. Case No. 71 of 2025 registered for the
offence(s) punishable under Sections 64,308(2),351(2) of the
BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the
petitioner, who is the brother-in-law of the informant, forcibly
established physical relations with the informant and captured
photographs of the incident. Thereafter, the petitioner allegedly
threatened to make the said photographs viral and, by instilling



fear in the mind of the informant, compelled her to obtain a bank loan of Rs.11 lakhs, which amount was subsequently taken by him.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is innocent and has falsely been implicated in the present case. It is further submitted that the petitioner and the victim are related as brother-in-law and sister-in-law, and that the petitioner had financially assisted the victim in securing employment. Learned counsel contended that the present case has been instituted with an ulterior motive to avoid repayment of the money allegedly taken by the victim from the petitioner. However, on instruction, learned counsel submitted that to buy peace of mind, petitioner wants to settle the dispute amicably outside the Court.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties and upon perusal of the allegations made in the FIR, and considering that petitioner is willing to compromise outside the Court, the petitioner, named above, is directed to be released on **provisional pre-arrest bail** on such terms and



conditions as the learned District Court deems it fit and proper, **if he forthwith surrenders before the learned District Court not beyond the period of two weeks or the interim protection granted to him shall lose its force.**

7. However, the learned District Court is directed to examine the matter for settlement of the dispute outside the court by way of mediation and take necessary steps to issue notices to the respective parties and upon their appearance refer the matter before the learned Mediator of the District Mediation Centre under the provision of Mediation Act, 2023 by fixing a date for appearance of the parties to give effect to “Mediation for the Nation 2.0”.

8. If the parties arrive at amicable settlement, the provisional bail granted to the petitioner shall be made absolute on such terms and conditions learned District Court deems fit and proper.

9. In case of failure, the law will take its own course.

10. If the petitioner does not appear before the learned district court then the informant is at liberty to inform the Police and in that circumstances, the concerned Investigating Officer is directed to forthwith take the petitioner into custody.

11. The bail application stands disposed of.



12. Let a copy of this order be communicated to the
Member Secretary, Bihar State Legal Services Authority and the
Patna High Court Mediation Centre for the purpose of record.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

