

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11872 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-60 Year-2025 Thana- JOGBANI District- Araria

Arjun Yadav S/o Lal Yadav @ Jay Lal Yadav R/o Village - Bishanpur, P.S -
Jogbani, District – Araria Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Ramesh Kumar Singh, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Md. Ataur Rahman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 23-03-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with
Jogbani P.S. Case No.60 of 2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 8 & 20(b)(ii)(c) of the NDPS Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that SSB
personnel received an information that *ganja* is to be transported
near Bishunpur border pillar no. 176/01. On this information, the
informant constituted a team and reached near Bishunpur village.
At 19:00 hrs, he found that some suspected persons are coming
from the side of Nepal on a bike. When the informant and his
associates tried to intercept them, they started fleeing away. They
were being chased but they fled away leaving the bike and bag.
After that some informers have disclosed that the petitioner along
with others were on the bike and from that bike in two bags
altogether 29 kg of *ganja* was recovered.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
has submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession



of this petitioner and from perusal of the FIR itself it is clear that it is not disclosed in the FIR as to who has named the petitioner. The petitioner is not concerned in any way with the bike. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the witnesses of the seizure list are police personnel and police has not complied Section 105 of the BNSS while making seizure. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 11.10.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and he has submitted that the petitioner is having criminal antecedent of two cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court of Sessions Judge-cum-Special Judge (NDPS Act), Araria in connection with Jogbani P.S. Case No.60 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

