

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.13375 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-1298 Year-2018 Thana- VAISALI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Vaishali

=====

Pankaj Kumar Sahani @ Pappu Kumar S/o- Late Shivshankar Sahani Village-
Iman Sarai Ps- Sahpur Patory Dist- Samastipur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. Minta Devi W/o- Late Bindeshwar Sahani Village- Bahsi Damodar Ps-
Jandaha Dist- Vaishali

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar Sinha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Asha Kumari

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KUMAR SINHA

ORAL ORDER

6 11-02-2026 1. Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with
Complaint Case No. 1298(c) of 2018 dated 31.05.2018
registered under Sections 323, 324, 379, 406, 498A of the I.P.C.
and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. As per the allegation made in the complaint the
complainant was married with the petitioner on 01.05.2006 and
after marriage, she went to her matrimonial home, where after
some days, all the accused persons started demanding Rs. 1
Lakh cash and a motorcycle and due to non fulfillment of the
demand, she was subjected to torture and cruelty by the
petitioner and other family members.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits as per his instruction the complainant has already died in her parental home as such the petitioner has made the mother of the complainant as opposite party no. 2 in this bail application. The marriage admittedly was solemnized in the year 2006 and after twelve years of marriage false and fabricated allegation of demand of dowry and torture has been levelled against the petitioner and his entire family members. The allegation is general and omnibus in nature and no specific allegation is attributable to the petitioner with regard to demand of dowry and physical and mental torture.

5. Despite service of notice, no one appears for the opposite party no. 2.

6. Regard being had to the submission made by the parties, taking into consideration the fact that after twelve years of marriage there is allegation of demand of dowry and torture, accordingly, I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Let the petitioner, above named, be released on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest or surrender before the court below within a period of four weeks from today on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (rupees ten thousand) with



two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of SDJM
Vaishali at Hajipur in connection with Complaint Case No.
1298(C) of 2018 subject to the condition as laid down under
Section 482 (2) of the B.N.S.S.

(Anil Kumar Sinha, J)

praful/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

