

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10561 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-822 Year-2025 Thana- SITAMARHI District- Sitamarhi

Rani Khatoon W/o Manzoor Khalifa R/o Village- Boha Tola Ward No.02, P.S-
Sitamarhi, Dist- Sitamarhi.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. [REDACTED] R/o Village- Plot
No.140 Pahalwan Marg Kanjhawala, P.S- Rohini, Dist- Rohini, North West
Delhi, Pin Code 110081.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Hans Lal Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jai Narain Thakur, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 01-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Sitamarhi P.S. Case No.822 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 87, 137(2), 64, 144(1), 145, 146, 61(2)(a), 3(5) of the BNS, under Sections 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 of Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, under Sections 75, 81, 84 of the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act as well as under Sections 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 of the POCSO Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that from the house of this petitioner three minor girls were recovered. It is alleged that this petitioner has forced them to prostitution.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that during course of investigation the victims have given their statements under Section 183 of the BNSS wherein they have not supported the case of the prosecution and they categorically stated that no one has committed any illegal act with them and that they were apprehended by police while they were indulged in their regular work. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that though there is allegation that this petitioner has forced these girls but the minor girls have not supported the case of the prosecution. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the petitioner is a lady and is in judicial custody since 17.12.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State. He has stated that the petitioner is having criminal antecedent of one case.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail *with the condition that one of the bailors should be near relative; petitioner shall remain physically present on each and every date in the trial court; petitioner shall not indulge herself in similar nature of*



offences in future. Learned trial court is further directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner before accepting the bail bonds.

7. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the court of learned District and Additional Sessions Judge-IV-cum-Exclusive Special Judge, (Rape & POCSO Act), Sitamarhi/Concerned court in connection with Sitamarhi P.S. Case No.822 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

