

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9983 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-481 Year-2024 Thana- KARAKAT District- Rohtas

=====

Pappu Yadav S/O Late Kameshwar Singh Resident of Village- Dharmagat
Parasi, Police Station- Karakat, District- Rohtas.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner : Mr. Raghunandan Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State : Mr. Arun Kumar Singh, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 18-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offence punishable under Sections 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2),
115(2), 118(1), 109, 103, 352 and 351(2) of the B.N.S..

3. As per the prosecution case, it is alleged that all the
FIR named accused persons, including this petitioner, came to
the house of the informant and started abusing her and on
protest by her father-in-law, all the accused persons assaulted
him. It is specifically alleged that this petitioner indiscriminately
assaulted the informant as well as her father-in-law by means of



knife due to which father-in-law of the informant died on the spot.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is quite innocent and has committed no offence. Petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case due to long standing land dispute between the parties. Moreover, charge-sheet has already been submitted and petitioner is in custody since 18.09.2024.

5. On the other hand, learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner and submitted that petitioner is named in the F.I.R. with specific accusation that he assaulted informant and her father-in-law with knife as a result of which, father-in-law of informant died. Petitioner appears to be assailant of the deceased and has got one criminal antecedent.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, specific and direct nature of accusation, gravity of offence and criminal antecedent of the petitioner, the prayer for grant bail of to the petitioner is rejected.

7. However, considering the fact that petitioner is in custody since 18.09.2024, the learned trial court is directed to expedite the trial and conclude the same, preferably within a



period of one year from the date of receipt/production of a copy
of this order.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

