

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.2518 of 2026

=====

Satish Kumar Son of Nageshwar Singh, Resident of Village- Raksiya, Police Station- Dulhin Bazar, District- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Secretary, Department of Mines and Geology, Government of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary, Department of Mines and Geology, Government of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Director, Department of Mines and Geology, Government of Bihar, Patna.
4. The District Magistrate, Patna.
5. The Mineral Development Officer, District Mining Office, Patna.
6. The Mining Inspector, District Mining Office, Patna.
7. The Officer Incharge, Dulhin Bazar Police Station, Patna.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sanjay Kumar Tiwary, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Government Pleader (19)
For the Mines	:	Mr. Naresh Dikshit, Spl.P.P.
		Mr. Brij Bihari Tiwary, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 23-04-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the following relief(s):

“I. For issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for quashing the arbitrary and illegal action of the respondent authorities in blocking/ closing the petitioners' I.D. and password appertaining to their respective sand storage licenses, without any notice or opportunity of



hearing.

II. For quashing the letter No.5645 dated 07.10.2025, so far as it relates to the petitioner by which a direction has been issued to take punitive action against all K-license holders for alleged ground of unauthorized sale beyond the permissible limit is false, baseless, and without evidentiary support, especially when no stock of sand existed at the petitioners' site at the relevant time.

III. For issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, commanding and directing the respondent authorities to immediately restore the petitioners' I.D. and password and allow them to carry on lawful business under their valid licenses.

IV. For holding that the alleged ground of unauthorized sale beyond the permissible limit is not all applicable in the case of petitioner.

V. For issuance of such other order or direction as the petitioner may be found entitled to in the facts and circumstances of the instant case.”

3. The counter affidavit of the Mines Department



shows that the petitioner has remedy before the Collector, Patna (respondent no. 4) for the redressal of the grievance.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an FIR has also been lodged in the matter without any information.

5. This Court has taken note of the facts and is of the opinion that if the petitioner approaches the Collector, Patna in next four weeks, he shall be passing a reasoned order and any civil/criminal case that is pending against him, it will be subject to the final outcome of the reasoned order passed by the Collector, Patna.

6. The writ petition stands disposed of with the aforesaid observation.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

