

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9951 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-33 Year-2020 Thana- SASARAM NAGAR District- Rohtas

Manvendra Raj @ Gourav Singh S/o Manoj Singh @ Manoj Kumar Singh
Resident of Village- Raipurchor, P.S.- Sheosagar, District- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Anmol Agrawal S/o Manish Kuar Agrawal Resident of S8/A, Rampur Garden, Bareilly (U.P.)

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Krishna Prasad Singh, Advocate Mr.Rakesh Singh, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr.Abhay Kumar Roy, APP
For the O.P. No.2	:	None.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 06-05-2026 Heard Mr. Rakesh Singh, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Abhay Kumar Roy, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State. However, no one appears on behalf of the O.P. No.2.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in connection with Sasaram (T) P.S. Case No. 33 of 2020 registered under Sections 406, 419 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, on the written report of the informant, Proprietor of Mishrilal Associates Pvt. Ltd., alleging that under a sub-contract for electrical work, materials (Dog conductor) were issued to the petitioner, who instead of utilizing the same, allegedly sold the



materials to a third person and failed to return them despite repeated demands, thereby causing loss to the informant firm.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submitted that in compliance of the order dated 26.02.2026, the petitioner has already prepared a bank draft of Rs. 3,00,000/- (Rupees Three Lakhs) in favour of Anmol Agrawal bearing D.D. No. 000209821013705 of Bank of India, and the details have been brought on record by way of Annexure-2 to the supplementary affidavit. The petitioner is ready to hand over the said demand draft at the time of furnishing bail bond.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, upon perusal of the materials available on record, particularly in view of the fact that the petitioner has shown *bona fide* by arranging a demand draft of Rs. 3,00,000/- in favour of the informant, I am of the opinion that the petitioner has, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on anticipatory bail.

7. The learned District Court is directed to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail, in the event of his arrest or



surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each, to the satisfaction of learned District Court where the case is pending in connection with Sasaram (T) P.S. Case No. 33 of 2020, subject to the condition as laid down under Section 482 of the BNSS//438(2) of the Cr.P.C. The learned District Court may accept the bank draft of Rs. 3,00,000/- payable to the informant at the time of furnishing bail bonds before the learned District Court.

8. The learned District Court is also directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner, as stated in paragraph no. 3 of the bail application. If any other case is pending against the petitioner, as what has been stated in paragraph no. 3, this order will lose its force automatically.

9. Accordingly, the present application stands disposed of.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Ashishsingh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

