

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9439 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-341 Year-2022 Thana- BEGUSARAI COMPLAINT CASE
District- Begusarai

=====

Vinod Kumar @ Vinod Prasad @ Binod Kumar S/o Late Sahadev Prasad
Resident of - Ward No. 3, Ulao, P.S - Town, District - Begusarai, At present -
Manager, Shriram Finance Ltd., Situated at Osho House, Kapasiya Chowk,
P.S - Town, District - Begusarai

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ram Shankar Singh S/o Nageshwar Singh R/o Village - Sahjadpur, P.S -
Cheria Bariarpur, District - Begusarai

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Ms.Renu Kumari
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms.Renuka Ratnakar(125)

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 26-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and

learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his

arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under

Sections 406 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits

that the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the

complainant alleges that he is a truck driver and wanted to

purchase a second hand truck, hence on 20.06.2019, met the

co-accused persons who came to him and offered to get

finance a second hand truck from Sri Ram Finance and took



the complainant to the petitioner who disclosed that the second hand truck is of Shiv Nath Mahto and on the said pretext kept his Aadhar card, Passbook and photo. Further, an amount of Rs.13,10,000/- was sanctioned in the name of the complainant, out of which an amount of Rs.11,50,000/- was transferred in his account, but on 01.07.2019, the accused persons got an amount of Rs.6,00,058/- transferred in the account of Shiv Nath Mahto. It is next submitted that the vehicle was not delivered.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the complainant. It is next submitted that petitioner is Branch Manager of Sri Ram Finance Company. It is further submitted that complainant approached the petitioner for getting loan sanctioned for purchasing a second hand truck. Accordingly, the loan was sanctioned and the amount was credited in the account of the complainant. It is next submitted that petitioner has no concern with Shiv Nath Mahto. It is also submitted that an amount of Rs.6,00,058/- was transferred in the account of Shiv Nath Mahto from whom the complainant intended to purchase a second hand



truck. It is further submitted that it does not appear probable that without signature of the complainant, the money would have been transferred in the account of Shiv Nath Mahto. It is next submitted that since the company is trying to recover the loan amount from the complainant, as such, in order to coerce the petitioner into submission, the instant false case came to be instituted. It is also submitted that had an FIR been instituted, perhaps the police would have investigated the case in its correct perspective, but then, the complainant very wisely instituted a complaint case knowing that cognizance would be taken based on material furnished by the complainant and his two witnesses.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the



learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor
Court in connection with Complaint Case No.341 of 2022,
subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of
the B.N.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

