

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10625 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-215 Year-2025 Thana- NOKHA District- Rohtas

1. Chandan Choudhary @ Gautam Kumar, aged about 22 years, Gender, Male,
2. Kishan Chaudhary @ Krishna Kumar, aged about 26 years, Gender, Male
Both are Sons of Iswar Chaudhary
Both are Resident of Village- Amirtha (Abhirtha) Ps-kundra District Kaimur
In accused column i.e. column 7 of perform of the FIR Address of the
Petitioners village- Abhirtha, Ps- Baghel, Dist- Rohtas has been wrongly
mentioned actually village- Amirtha (Abhirtha) Lies under ps- Kundra, Dist-
Kaimur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Rabindra Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Anuj Kumar Shrivastava, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2026 Heard Mr. Rabindra Kumar, learned counsel

appearing on behalf of the petitioners and Mr. Anuj Kumar

Shrivastava, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioners seek pre-arrest bail in connection
with Nokha P.S. Case No. 215/2025 registered for the offence(s)
punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 109,
352, 351(2) and 351(3) of the BNS.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, the accused
persons named therein including the petitioners have assaulted
the informant and her family members with an intention to kill,
causing injury to them.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioners submitted that petitioners are innocent and have falsely been implicated in the present case due to land dispute. Petitioners and informant are own *Gotia*. There is case and counter case between the parties arising out of same incidence and due to land dispute, an altercation took place and both the sides entered into fierce fight and in the self-defence, petitioners may have caused some injury on the persons of the informant and her family members without intention.

5. Learned APP for the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail.

6. Having considered the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the FIR and also the fact that petitioners and informant are own *Gotia* and there is case and counter case between them and due to land dispute, an altercation took place and both the sides entered into fierce fight and in the self-defence, petitioners may have caused some injury on the persons of the informant and her family members without intention, I am of the opinion that petitioners have, *prima facie*, made out a case to be released on pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioners, above named, are directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of their arrest or



surrender before the learned District Court within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate-I, Rohtas at Sasaram / Concerned Court in connection with Nokha P.S. Case No. 215/2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and if it is found that the petitioners are involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

