

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15345 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-55 Year-2025 Thana- JAGDISHPUR District- Bhojpur

Mangesh Singh Son of Shobhnath Singh Resident of village- Naya tola
Jagdishpur PS -Jagdishpur Distt -Bhojpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Madhu Kumari wife of Mangesh Singh Resident of village- Naya tola
Jagdishpur PS -Jagdishpur Distt -Bhojpur at Ara, At P/A- Daughter of
Ramkumar Singh, Village and Post- Narayanpur, Ps- Sandesh, Dist- Bhojpur
at Ara

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr. Raju Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Binod Kumar, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Bhaskar Shankar, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

3 14-07-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the

petitioner, learned APP appearing on behalf of the State and

learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant/Opposite

Party No. 2.

2. The petitioner, husband of the informant/Opposite

Party No. 2, apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the

offence punishable under Section 85 of the B.N.S. and Sections

3 and 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. Earlier, vide order dated 25.03.2026, the matter was

referred to Mediation and Conciliation Centre, Patna High

Court. From perusal of mediator's report dated 17.06.2026 it is



apparent that despite best efforts, the dispute between the parties could not be resolved through the process of mediation.

4. As per prosecution case, marriage of informant/Opposite Party No. 2 was solemnized with this petitioner and thereafter, all the F.I.R. accused persons, including this petitioner, started demanding dowry and due to non-fulfillment of demand of dowry, the informant/Opposite Party No. 2 was subjected to cruelty and harassment.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the petitioner denies the allegations made in the F.I.R.. As a matter of fact, petitioner never committed torture to informant/Opposite Party No. 2 or demanded any dowry. However, the petitioner undertakes to give Rs. 5,000/- (five thousand rupees) per month by way of temporary relief/solace, starting from this month, to the informant/Opposite Party No. 2. Petitioner claims clean antecedents.

6. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant/Opposite Party No. 2 have vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. In view of the undertaking of learned counsel for



the petitioner that petitioner is ready to give temporary relief/solace of ***Rs. 5,000/- (five thousand rupees) per month to informant/Opposite Party No. 2***, in the event of arrest/surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let the petitioner, above-named, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M. 1st, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Jagdishpur P.S. Case No. 55 of 2025, subject to condition as laid down under Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. with further following conditions:

“(A.) Informant/Opposite Party No. 2 would file an affidavit before the court below and bring on record her saving bank account number for its communication to the petitioner.

(B.) Petitioner would deposit the aforesaid amount per month in the saving bank account of the informant/Opposite Party No. 2 .

(C.) In case, the petitioner fails to deposit the aforesaid amount for two consecutive months, the court below would be at liberty to cancel the bail bond.

(D.) The aforementioned payment will be subject to any order passed in matrimonial, maintenance or connected proceedings. The present order, in no way, will preclude the parties to resolve the issue otherwise.”

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

shashank/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

