

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11019 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-474 Year-2024 Thana- RANIGANJ District- Araria

Dimpal Yadav @ Dimpal Kumar S/o Amod Yadav Resident of village-
Bairakh Koshkapur, ward no 13, Police station- Raniganj, District- Araria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Rana, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Harendra Prasad, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH
MISHRA**

ORAL ORDER

2 13-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned APP
for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Session Trial Case No. 773 of 2026 arising out of Raniganj P.S.
Case No. 474 of 2024 instituted for the offence under Sections
310(2) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Sections 25(1-
B), 27 & 26 of the Arms Act. Earlier vide order dated
25.07.2025, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 51712 of 2025, regular bail
of the petitioner was rejected by this Court.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
present one is the second attempt for grant of regular bail to the
petitioner. It is mainly submitted that charge in this case is
framed. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the



petitioner is in custody since 16.05.2025, having two criminal antecedents. Learned counsel further submits that there is no likelihood of the trial being concluded in the near future. Learned counsel goes on to submit that the continued incarceration of the petitioner without conclusion of trial amounts to a gross violation of the fundamental right guaranteed under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, which is reiterated by Hon'ble Apex Court in plethora of judgments. Other co-accused has been granted bail in second attempt by this Court vide order dated 28.01.2026, passed in Cr. Misc. No. 3906 of 2026.

4. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, period of custody of the petitioner and taking into account the fact that continued detention of the petitioner would serve no purpose other than punitive pretrial incarceration, which is antithetical to the spirit of Article 21 of the Constitution of India as also claim based on parity, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

6. Let the petitioner be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 15,000/- (Fifteen Thousand) with two sureties



of the like amount each to the satisfaction of Court below/concerned Court in connection with Session Trial Case No. 773 of 2026, arising out of Raniganj P.S. Case No. 474 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:

(I) One of the bailors shall be own/close member of the family of the petitioner.

(II) The petitioner shall appear on each and every date fixed at the trial. In case of default in such appearance on two consecutive dates, the Trial Court will have liberty to cancel the bail bonds of the petitioner.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Raj Kishore/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

