

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9630 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-36 Year-2023 Thana- GOVINDGANJ District- East Champaran

Manoj Kumar Sah, Son of Late Shiv Vachan Sah, Resident of Village-
Chatiya, Chintamanpur, P.S.- Govindganj, (Malahi), District- East
Champaran. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar.

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Abhishek Ranjan, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Navin Kumar Pandey, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE CHANDRA SHEKHAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

2 12-02-2026 Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
petitioner and learned APP appearing on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Govindganj (Malahi) P.S. Case No. 36 of 2023 registered for the
offence under Sections 409, 420 read with Section 34 of the
Indian Penal Code, 1860.

3. The accused/petitioner is named in the F.I.R. and is
in custody since 11.08.2025.

4. As per FIR, petitioner being Manager of
Chintamandpur PACS Chatia, District East Champaran alongwith
co-accused persons are said to have committed irregularity in
paddy procurement for the sessions 2021-2022.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
submitted that the maximum allegation against petitioner is to



purchase the paddy from such a person, who was landless and was not the farmer. Explaining this allegation, it is submitted by learned counsel that in fact petitioner being manager approved the purchase of the paddy in issue from the farmer and the alleged five persons were also found during investigation as registered farmer. It is also pointed out that the purchase of paddy on behalf of PACS was not to be approved by this petitioner only being manager, rather same was procured under approval of purchase committee of the PACS. While concluding argument, it is submitted that investigation of this case is already completed, for which charge-sheet has been submitted, as such, there is no chance of tampering with the evidence. Petitioner claimed clean antecedent.

6. Learned APP opposed the prayer of bail.

7. Considering the aforesaid factual submissions and by taking note of overall nature of accusations as discussed aforesaid, coupled with the fact that investigation of this case is already completed, where petitioner being man of clean antecedent, remains in custody since 11.08.2025 accordingly, petitioner above named, is directed to be released on bail in connection with Govindganj (Malahi) P.S. Case No. 36 of 2023, on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of



the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned A.C.J.M., East
Champanan/concerned Court, subject to the conditions as
mentioned under Section 437(3) of the Cr.P.C./Section 480(3) of
BNSS.

(Chandra Shekhar Jha, J)

veena/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

