

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10543 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-283 Year-2025 Thana- PANDAUL District- Madhubani

Vikram Yadav @ Bikram Yadav @ Vikram Kumar Singh S/o Bhola Yadav @
Jai Prakash Bhuwan R/o vill - Sagarpur, P.S.- Sakri, Distt.- Madhubani at
present R/o vill - Pandaul, P.s.- Pandaul, Distt.- Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Arvind Kumar

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Narsingh Tanti

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 23-02-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case
registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a),
41(1), 31(1), 31(2) of the Bihar Excise Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner has antecedent of fifteen cases out of which thirteen
cases are under the Excise Act and allegation is of recovery of
3062.52 litres of liquor from two Scorpio vehicles and a
varandah.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner was not arrested from the spot as such nothing was
recovered from his conscious possession and is not the owner of



any of the seized vehicles and even the house from where the recovery is alleged to have been made does not belong to the petitioner and he came to be implicated at the instance of Chowkidar but then it is submitted that if the Chowkidar was aware about the involvement of the petitioner in the occurrence, then why he did not inform the police prior to institution of the instant FIR, which casts an aspersion on the case of the prosecution. It is further submitted that once an accused is implicated in a case relating to excise, the police starts implicating mechanically either at the instance of Chowkidar, local person, confessional statement or secret information without holding a proper investigation. It is also submitted that petitioner earlier also came to be implicated in a similar manner.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on **Provisional anticipatory bail** on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 75,000/- (Rupees Seventy-five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is



pending/successor court in connection with Pandaul P.S. Case No. 283 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

7. It is made clear that thereafter the learned Trial Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and in the event if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of more than fifteen cases then it would be presumed that petitioner, for the purposes of seeking anticipatory bail, had concealed his antecedent before this Court, as such, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but after verification if it is found that petitioner has antecedent of fifteen cases in that event the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Sumit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

