

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10023 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-622 Year-2024 Thana- SAKRA District- Muzaffarpur

1. Vikram Kumar S/O Ganaur Sahni R/O Vill.- Mahmadpur Badal, P.s.- Sakra, District- Muzaffarpur
2. Newalal Sahni S/O Shital Sahni R/O Vill.- Mahmadpur Badal, P.s.- Sakra, District- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioners

Versus

The State Of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Prasoon Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 02-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned
Additional Public Prosecutor for the State.

2. Petitioners seeks bail who are in custody since
14.10.2025 in connection with Sakra P.S. Case No. 622 of 2024,
for the offence punishable under Section 103(1) of BNS.

3. The prosecution story, in brief, as per the written
report given by the informant namely Upendra Sahni who
alleges that about 3 years ago the marriage of his son namely
Dharmendra Kumar has been solemnized with one girl namely
Anjali Kumar. On 03/10/2024 the son of the informant namely
Dharmendra Kumar along with her wife has gone to her sasural.
And it is further alleged that on 29/10/2024 at about 6: 00A.M.



in the morning the informant received an information from the relatives who used to live in that village that his son has been killed by their in-laws family members. Neither the daughter-in-law or any of his relative has informed regarding the same to the informant. And after that it is further alleged that the informant along with 10-15 peoples reached there and found that his son has died by his in-laws family and was giving excuse of suicide.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners have clean antecedent and they have falsely been implicated in the present case. The allegation as alleged in the F.I.R is false and fabricated and the petitioners have not committed any offence as alleged in the F.I.R. It is next submitted that petitioners have been made an accused in this case on the basis of suspicion. It is further submitted that from perusal of the FIR it appears that the date of occurrence is 29.10.2024 and the FIR has been instituted on 11.12.2024 i.e., after a delay of 40 days without giving any explanation of delay. Apart from aforesaid, informant is not an eye-witness to the occurrence in question and even no one has seen the occurrence. It is next submitted that the police after investigation has submitted charge-sheet and petitioner is in custody since 14.10.2025.



5. Learned Additional Public Prosecutor for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the petitioners.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances and that the petitioners are persons with clean antecedent and no cogent material has come during the course of investigation to suggest the involvement of petitioners in the present case, let the petitioners, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court of Additional Sessions Judge-Vth, Muzaffarpur in connection with Sakra P.S. Case No. 622 of 2024, subject to the following conditions:-

i. Petitioners shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on their absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, their bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the petitioners tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at



any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Gaurav Sinha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

