

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.91109 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-285 Year-2025 Thana- BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District-
Begusarai

=====

Himanshu Kumar @ Himanshu Singh

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

with

CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 9149 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-285 Year-2025 Thana- BEGUSARAI MUFFASIL District-
Begusarai

=====

Niraj Kumar Nayan @ Bittu Kumar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :
(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 91109 of 2025)

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Vivekanand Singh, Advocate Mr. Vinod Kumar Seth, Advocate Mr. Arvind Kumar, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Akshay Lal Pandit, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ms. Mona Verma, Advocate Mr. Chitranjan Kumar, Advocate Mr. Amit Prakash, Advocate Mr. Amar Kumar Singh, Advocate

(In CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No. 9149 of 2026)

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Ramakant Sharma, Sr. Advocate Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Ram Bilash Roy Raman, APP
For the Informant	:	Mr. Ms. Mona Verma, Advocate Mr. Chitranjan Kumar, Advocate Mr. Amit Prakash, Advocate Mr. Amar Kumar Singh, Advocate

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 30-03-2026 These cases arise out of the same P.S. Case i.e.,

Begusarai Muffasil P.S. Case No. 285 of 2025; therefore, they



are being heard together and disposed of by a common order.

2. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner (Himanshu Kumar @ Himanshu Singh), the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner (Niraj Kumar Nayan @ Bittu Kumar) and learned APP for the State and the learned counsel for the informant.

3. The petitioners seek regular bail in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 285 of 2025 registered for the offence under Section 103(1) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

4. Unknown criminals had killed the deceased brutally by cutting his neck. After the recovery of the dead body, the police investigated the case. From the CCTV footage collected by the Police, the involvement of the petitioners has come. Both the petitioners were found purchasing the murder weapon from a shop. The CCTV has recorded the presence of the petitioners.

5. The payment for purchasing the murder weapon has been made by the petitioner Niraj Kumar Nayan @ Bittu Kumar. Both the petitioners have given their self-inculpatory statement and have described the brutal murder of the deceased. The petitioners are in custody since 08.09.2025.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstance, the heinous nature of the offence, and the direct involvement of



the petitioners in the crime, this Court is not inclined to grant regular bail to the petitioners.

7. Accordingly, these applications for regular bail stand rejected.

8. It is made clear that any observation made herein is *prima facie* in nature and limited solely for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail applications. Such observations shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case and shall not influence the trial or any other proceedings in any manner.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

