

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.15429 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-545 Year-2025 Thana- JAMUI District- Jamui

=====

Bittu Yadav @ Biitu Kumar @ Badal Kumar Son of Anil Yadav Resident of
Village- Kalyanpur, P.S.- Jamui, District- Jamui

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s :	Mr.Ankita Kumari, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s :	Mr.Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP
	Mr.Sanjay Kumar Mishra, Adv.
	Mr.Ashok Kumar, Adv.

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE DR. ANSHUMAN
ORAL ORDER

2 25-03-2026 Heard Learned Counsel for the petitioner, learned
APP for the State and learned counsel for the informant.

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection with Jamui P.S. Case No.545 of 2025 lodged on 23.09.2025, for the offences punishable under Sections 191(2), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 303(2), 118(1), 109 of the B.N.S., 2023.

3. As per the prosecution, FIR has been lodged against five named accused persons including the present petitioner with allegation that they had surrounded the informant in the state of intoxication and snatched gold chain. Upon oppose, petitioner has assaulted by gun point due to which injury took place and informant becomes unconscious.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the



petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Counsel also submits that the petitioner and informant are resident of same village and on the date of occurrence, informant and one other tried to enter in the house of the one Sudha Devi from backside and were trying to commit theft. Anyhow the said Sudha Devi listened the noise and with the help of villagers, they were caught. Upon FIR was not lodged then she file complaint case which is pending before the Court of C.J.M.

5. Counsel submits that the criminal antecedent of the petitioner is clean and ready to fulfill all the conditions whatsoever shall be imposed upon him.

6. Learned counsel for the informant, who appeared *suo moto*, vehemently opposes the prayer for bail and submits that there is specific allegation against the petitioner. Therefore, the bail should be rejected.

7. Learned APP for the State opposes the prayer for bail of the petitioner and submits that the story of leaving motorcycle indicated in the FIR.

8. As such, in the present facts and circumstances of this case, let the above named petitioner be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of 4 weeks from today, on



furnishing bail bond of Rs.30,000/- (Rupees Thirty Thousand) as mentioned in Section 2(1)(d) of the BNSS, 2023 to the satisfaction of Addl. Sessions Judge-V, Jamui, in connection with Jamui P.S. Case No.545 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down U/s 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023.

Prakashmani/-

(Dr. Anshuman, J)

U		T	
---	--	---	--

