

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9925 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-502 Year-2025 Thana- KATEYA District- Gopalganj

-
1. PRAMOD YADAV S/o LATE SUBASH YADAV R/O VILL-BETWANIYA, P.S.- KATEYA, DISTT- GOPALGANJ
 2. Dhanu Yadav S/o Late Subash Yadav R/O VILL- BETWANIYA, P.S.- KATEYA, DISTT- GOPALGANJ

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Ms. Priya Raj, Advocate
		Mr. Diwakar Pandey, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s	:	Mr. Damodar Prasad Tiwary, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 26-02-2026 Heard Ms. Priya Raj, learned counsel for the petitioners
and the State

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Kateya P.S. Case No. 502 of 2025 for the offence under sections 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109, 352 and 3(5) of the BNS lodged on 02.09.2025 by the informant, Pooja Kumari.

3. As per the prosecution story, the informant alleged that on the minor issue, the accused persons abused and later, Pramod Yadav (petitioner no. 1) assaulted with '*kudal*' on the head while Dhanu Yadav (petitioner no. 2) tried to outrage the modesty. This led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that they are agnates, having no criminal antecedent and only because of



enmity, implicated.

5. Learned APP, on the other hand, has taken this Court to the learned Sessions Judge order to show that the injury inflicted by Pramod Yadav (petitioner no. 1) has been found to be grievous in nature.

6. Considering the submissions of the parties as also that the injury that has been inflicted by Pramod Yadav (petitioner no. 1) on the head which has been found grievous in nature, no relief can be extended to him and his anticipatory bail application stands rejected.

7. So far as petitioner no. 2, Dhanu Yadav is concerned, considering the allegation that has come against him coupled with the fact that he has no criminal antecedent and is only eighteen years of age, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail with conditions.

8. Let the petitioner no. 2, Dhanu Yadav be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the satisfaction of learned District and Additional Sessions Judge-II, Gopalganj in connection with Kateya P.S. Case No. 502 of 2025 subject to the following conditions:

(i) one of the bailor should be the family



member/relative of the petitioner no. 2, Dhanu Yadav who shall provide official document (Aadhaar Card/Voter ID Card/Pan Card/Driving License) to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner no. 2, Dhanu Yadav shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner no. 2, Dhanu Yadav shall be appearing before the Police Station as and when required for cooperating in the investigation;

(iv) the petitioner no. 2, Dhanu Yadav shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioner no. 2, Dhanu Yadav shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

