

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9433 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-147 Year-2019 Thana- IMAMGANJ District- Gaya

Ishari Paswan @ Ishwari Paswan @ Isri Paswan S/O Late Ganauri Paswan
R/O Village- Kendua, P.S- Imamganj, Distt.- Gaya.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Vijay Kumar, Advocate.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jitendra Kumar Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

5 14-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Session Trial No. 405 of 2022 arising out of Imamganj P.S. Case No. 147 of 2019 registered for the offence punishable under Sections 341, 323, 504, 506, 307 and 302 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner is in judicial custody since 27.09.2019. It is further submitted that vide order dated 08.08.2023, the learned trial court was directed to conclude the trial within twelve months as per the assurance of learned trial court.

4. A report has also been called from the learned trial court, according to which, till today, only one witness has been



examined, and the trial court has assured that this trial will be concluded within nine months.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that a statement has been made in para 3 of the petition that petitioner has no criminal antecedent and he is languishing in judicial custody since 27.09.2019.

6. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and submits that from perusal of the FIR, it will transpire that the allegation against the petitioner is that of stabbing which is corroborated by the post-mortem report also. The petitioner is the main assailant of this case.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage, however the petitioner may renew his prayer for bail after nine months or after the examination of the informant if he does not supports the case of the prosecution, whichever is earlier.

8. Accordingly, the present bail application of the petitioner is hereby rejected.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

