

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8915 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-481 Year-2024 Thana- RANIYATALAB District- Patna

Randhir Paswan @ Randhir Kumar S/O Late Buddhhe Paswan @ Budhe
Paswan @ Budhdev Paswan R/O Village- Dhana, P.S- Ranitalab, Dist.- Patna.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Alka Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr. Nand Kumar, APP
		Mr. Uma Shankar Prasad Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN KUMAR JHA
ORAL ORDER

6 15-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in connection with Ranitalab P.S. Case No. 481 of 2024, registered under Sections 80, 328 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (B.N.S.) and under Sections 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

3. The case of the prosecution, in brief, is that the informant's daughter, namely, Muskan Kumari, was married to Rohit Kumar on 28.12.2024. It is alleged that when the informant went to the matrimonial house to inquire about her daughter, she discovered that the accused persons, including the petitioner, killed her daughter for non-fulfillment of a dowry demand of Rs. 2 lakhs and subsequently disposed of the dead



body.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is merely a neighbour of the deceased and has no relationship with the in-laws of the deceased. It is submitted that, the deceased was in a love relationship with one Ranjan Kumar, son of Tuntun Paswan, with whom she eloped leading to the registration of Sirimore P.S. Case No. 141 of 2024. It is further submitted that the dead body of the deceased has not been recovered, and the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case solely due to being a neighbour.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Having heard the rival submissions of the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, particularly the fact that the petitioner is a neighbour with no specific overt act attributed to him, the non-recovery of the dead body, and the background of the deceased elopement leading to registration of F.I.R. vide P.S. Case No. 141 of 2024, this Court is inclined to grant the privilege of anticipatory bail. Furthermore, the co-accused father-in-law (Nanhak Paswan) has already been granted anticipatory bail by this Court vide order dated 03.09.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 35562 of 2025.



7. Accordingly, let the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned court below within a period of four weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Sri V.K. Sah, J.M.F.C., Danapur, Patna/concerned court, in connection with Ranitalab P.S. Case No. 481 of 2024, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS).

(Ranjan Kumar Jha, J)

rashmi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

