

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.17940 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-55 Year-2025 Thana- BAGHA District- West Champaran

Ravi Kumar Ram @ Ravi Ram son of Lalbahadur Ram Resident Of Village -
Pipra Murli Police Station- Bagaha District- West Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Irfan Ahmad Ansari

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Jharkhandi Upadhyay

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 14-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 316(5), 318(4) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the informant alleges that Fincare Small Finance Bank Ltd. was merged with AU Small Finance Bank Ltd. on 1-4-2024 under merger scheme, further on internal verification, it was found that certain former employees in collusion with business partner Yuvraj, misused their official position and misappropriated loan amounts sanctioned to the account holders, next alleges that petitioner, a former Centre Manager, defalcated GP loan amount



sanctioned to Reena, Meera, Radhika by withdrawing an amount of Rs. 1,05,000/- in connivance with Yuvraj, further Rajnish diverted an amount of Rs. 52,242/- sanctioned in the name of Srishti Devi, similarly Vikash received an amount of Rs. 1,19,350/- in his personal account through unauthorized loan withdrawals and transfer from the account of Khushi Kumari, further Yuvraj participated in multiple unauthorized withdrawals of an amount of Rs. 2,00,000/- by using thumb impression and fake signature of GP members, further Yuvraj admitted in writing of using AEPS and internal registers to divert funds for personal gain and transferring amount to former employees in breach of banking rules.

4. The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is further submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant alleges that petitioner at the time when the occurrence is alleged to have taken place was the Centre Manager and in connivance with Yuvraj, withdrew an amount of Rs.1,05,000/- of different GP loan accounts. It is further submitted that if the allegations are considered minutely, it would manifest that no specific allegation is alleged against the



petitioner apart from suspicion. It is also submitted that it is not the case of the informant that any amount was credited in the account of the petitioner rather it is alleged that petitioner in connivance with Yuvraj and others committed the occurrence of defalcation but then the entire allegation hinges around suspicion and nothing has come during the course of investigation connecting the petitioner with the offence. It is also submitted that the services of the petitioner stands terminated. It is next submitted that if privilege of anticipatory bail is granted, the petitioner will not abscond rather will cooperate in the investigation to prove his innocence.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the petitioner above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned trial court within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 25,000/- (Rupees Twenty Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned trial court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Bagaha P.S. Case No. 55 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down



under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

amit/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

