

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.12134 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-446 Year-2020 Thana- DUMRAO District- Buxar

Amit Kumar Singh S/o Vivek Singh R/o Village- Badki Kothiya, P.S.- Buxar,
(I), District- Buxar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhawana Jha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 05-05-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in a case registered for the
offences punishable under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 302
and 506 of the Indian Penal Code as well as Section 27 of the
Arms Act.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
petitioner has antecedent of eight cases and is in custody since
27.03.2024 and the informant alleges that accused persons came
on 08.12.2020, at 08:30 a.m., while his father was sitting on a
chair outside the house reading newspaper when Kamlesh
Yadav along with Rajdev Yadav caught his hands while
Mantosh Yadav and Suraj Yadav caught his legs and thereafter
Birbal Yadav shot him below his ears and Amit Yadav shot him
above his eyes and Manish Yadav shot behind the neck while



Saroj Yadav fired 2-3 rounds at his house.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner is not named in the FIR and the name of the petitioner is Amit Kumar Singh and he is son of Vivek Singh whereas in the FIR Amit Yadav, son of Suraj Yadav is an accused who is alleged to have fired above the eyes on the father of the informant. It is further submitted that name of the petitioner transpired during the course of investigation in the confessional statement of Golu Kumar @ Raja Kumar as recorded at para 199 of the case diary.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State vehemently opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner and submits that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that informant has named ten accused persons and also alleged that three unknown were also present at the place of occurrence when the occurrence took place and, as such, it is submitted that during the course of investigation Golu Kumar @ Raja Kumar was also arrested who confessed about the name of the petitioner. It is next submitted that petitioner has antecedent of eight serious cases and if the privilege of regular bail is granted to the petitioner, the petitioner may abscond or try to tamper with the evidence.



6. Considering the submissions made by the learned A.P.P. for the State, the Court is not inclined to release the petitioner on bail in connection with Dumraon P.S. Case No. 446 of 2020 pending in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Buxar/Successor Court.

7. Hence, the prayer for bail is rejected.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

