

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.19180 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-128 Year-2024 Thana- Geedha District- Bhojpur

Munna Prasad @ Munna Kumar S/O Khaderan Prasad @ Vijay Prasad R/O
Village- Birampur, P.S.- Giddha, District- Bhojpur.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Bhawana Jha

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Ram Sumiran Rai

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

2 03-04-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the
learned APP for the State.

2. This is the 2nd attempt of the petitioner seeking bail
in connection with Giddha P.S. Case No. 128 of 2024 registered
for the offence under Sections 319(2), 318(4), & 316(2) of the
Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Earlier the bail application of the petitioner was
rejected on 02.07.2025 in Cr. Misc. No. 7061 of 2025 which
reads as follows:-

Heard the learned counsel for the
petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks regular bail in
connection with Gidha P.S. Case No. 128 of
2024 registered for the offence under Sections
319(2), 318(4), 316(4) of the B.N.S. 3. As per



the prosecution case, the petitioner and one Chandan Sahay are said to have cheated the depositors while they were given CSP center of Bihar Gramin Bank.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent. He submits that the petitioner was peon in the Bank. The petitioner is in custody since 11.10.2024.

5. Learned counsel for the informant has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail and produced letter dated 29.05.2025, which shows that the petitioner is one of the owners of the C.S.P. center.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, I am not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, this application for regular bail is hereby rejected.

8. The Court below is directed to expedite the trial. 9. Letter dated 29.05.2025 is taken on record.

4. This Court finds no ground to review its earlier order. Accordingly, this application for regular bail stands rejected.

5. It is made clear that any observation made herein is *prima facie* in nature and limited solely for the purpose of adjudication of the present bail application. Such observations shall not be construed as an expression on the merits of the case



and shall not influence the trial or any other proceedings in any manner.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

Shishir/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

