

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9671 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-567 Year-2025 Thana- CHAKIA District- East Champaran

1. Bachcha Pandey S/O Sri Baidhnath Pandey R/O Village- Bansghat, Tola-Dharampur, Ward No.-12, P.S- Chakia, Distt.- East Champaran.
2. Kamla Devi W/O Bachcha Pandey R/O Village- Bansghat, Tola-Dharampur, Ward No.-12, P.S- Chakia, Distt.- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Anuj Kumar, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Nand Kishore Prasad, APP

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      24-02-2026                      Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned  
A.P.P. for the State.

2. Petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Chakia P.S. Case No.567 of 2025 registered for the offences under Sections 103(1) and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. The allegation against the petitioners is that the named accused persons, including the petitioners, have killed the daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are the father-in-law and mother-in-law of the deceased. It has further been submitted that the son of the petitioners marriage with the deceased on his own and the



petitioners had no concern with their family affairs. It has next been submitted that even from the postmortem report, it would be evident that there is no external injury found and there is a suspicious death due to poison, as per the inquest report. It has also been submitted that there is no eyewitness to the occurrence and the petitioner had been made accused merely on suspicion. It has lastly been submitted that petitioners carry clean antecedents.

5. Learned APP for the State has opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, let the petitioners above named be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.10,000/-(ten thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Court below where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Chakia P.S. Case No.567 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with corresponding Section 482(2) of B.N.S.S. as well as subject to the following conditions:-

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioners shall



be their close relative.

(ii) The petitioners shall remain physically present in Court on each date of the trial.

(iii) In case of absence on two consecutive dates, or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioners will be liable to be cancelled by the Court concerned.

(iv) If the petitioners are found involved in similar nature of offence in future, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of their bail bond.

(v) The learned Court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case at any stage it is found that the petitioners have concealed their criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the petitioners. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed.

8. It is made clear that the observation, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

**(Sourendra Pandey, J)**

manoj/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

