

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.16424 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-74 Year-2025 Thana- NASRIGANJ District- Rohtas

=====

Srikant Rajwanshi S/O Sujit Rajwar @ Suchit Ram @ Suchit Rajwar
Resident of vilage - Sahgi,P.O-Sirisiya Bigha , P.S- Nasirganj, Dist.- Rohtas

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. D.K. Sinha, Sr. Advocate
Ms. Shreya, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Kalyan Shankar, APP

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

3 22-04-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with Nasriganj P.S. Case No. 74 of 2025 registered for the offence punishable under Section 103(1) of the B.N.S., 2023 and Section 3/4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act which was earlier rejected by the learned Co-ordinate Bench of this Court *vide* order dated 23.06.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 35963 of 2025.

3. The case of the prosecution, in short, is that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased. The deceased was married to the petitioner 15 years ago, and she was subjected to cruelty on account of non-fulfillment of the dowry demand, and she was ultimately killed.



4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. He also submits that from perusal of the postmortem report, it will transpire that the doctor conducting the autopsy has found only a ligature mark and has opined that the cause of death is *asphyxia* due to hanging, but it can be ascertained duly by the *viscera* report. The viscera report of the deceased was also preserved. He further submits that from perusal of the FIR, it is clear that the allegation is that the deceased was strangled by the in-laws, but the postmortem report does not support the version of the prosecution, rather it supports the case of the petitioner, as according to the petitioner, the deceased herself has committed suicide. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 09.03.2025.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned APP for the State and submits that the petitioner has criminal antecedent of two cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail



bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties
of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned court
below/successor court in connection with Nasriganj P.S. Case
No. 74 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

Sudhanshu/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

