

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8739 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-184 Year-2025 Thana- BAUNSI District- Araria

Md. Anwar @ Md. Anwar Ansari @ Anavar Ansari S/o Md. Murtuza Ansari
R/o Vill- Basaithi, P.S.- Bausi, Distt- Araria Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Mukesh Kumar Rana, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Abhay Kumar Roy, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 06-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for bail in connection with
Bausi P.S. Case No.184 of 2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 303(2), 317(2), 317(4), 317(5) and 3(5)
of B.N.S.

3. The case of the prosecution is that on raid being made
by police in the house of Md. Anwar, this petitioner was
apprehended. One motorcycle was found in the house and upon
asking about the motorcycle, the petitioner said that he has bought
the same from Guddu Ansari and Shashi Kumar.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner
has submitted that petitioner is innocent and has committed no
offence. He has been falsely implicated in this case. Learned
counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that Guddu has
given his confessional statement that he has purchased the bike
from one Shashi and Shashi disclosed that through Guddu he has
handed over a bike to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the



petitioner has submitted that nothing has been recovered from the possession of this petitioner and the witnesses of the seizure list are police personnel. Police has not complied Section 105 of the BNSS while making seizure. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that similarly situated co-accused has been granted bail by this Court vide Cr. Misc. No. 87097 of 2025. Learned counsel for the petitioner has further submitted that the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 24.10.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the application for bail.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rs. ten thousand only) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Araria in connection with Bausi P.S. Case No. 184 of 2025.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

durgesh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

