

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9215 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-609 Year-2023 Thana- MADHUBAN District- East Champaran

Ram Balak Rai S/o Late Jiyalal Rai @ Jaylal Rai Resident of Village- Jitaura
(Saraiya), P.S.- Madhuban, District- East Champaran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Kundan Rathore, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Dilip Kumar No. 1, A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

5 14-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Madhuban P.S. case No. 609 of 2023, instituted for the offences under Sections 147, 148, 149, 341, 323, 307, 324, 354(B), 379, 504, 506 and 447 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. Prosecution case, in short, is that on 15.12.2023 at about 7.00 P.M. the informant Bhulan Rai was at his house, in the meantime, all accused persons named in the F.I.R, including the petitioner, armed with weapons came at the door of informant and started abusing the informant. When informant raised objection then on the order of petitioner, all accused persons brutally assaulted the informant with lathi, danda, rod and sharp weapons. Petitioner Ram Balak Rai, with intention to commit murder, gave blow of sharp weapon on the head of



informant, causing injury with oozing of blood. It further alleged that when the wife of the informant came to rescue then accused persons also assaulted her and tore her clothes and snatched gold chain worth of Rs. 55000/- from the wife of informant. Thereafter, injured were taken to Madhuban PHC for treatment.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submitted that petitioner is in custody since 01.12.2025 and the petitioner has clean antecedent. Learned counsel for the petitioner also submits that general and omnibus allegation has been made against the petitioner and the F.I.R. has been lodged after two days of occurrence without any cogent explanation. Lastly, the counsel submitted that other co-accused has been granted anticipatory bail by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 07.10.2025 passed in Cr. Misc. No. 68413 of 2025.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case and on perusal of the case diary, it appears that there is a serious injury on the head of the informant caused by hard



and blunt substance. The nature of injury is grievous. In view of the above, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner at this stage.

7. Accordingly, the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner is, hereby, rejected.

8. Learned Trial Court is directed to expedite the trial.

9. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to renew the prayer for grant of bail before the Trial Court if the trial is not concluded within a period of six months from today. If any such application is filed before the learned court below, the court concerned shall consider the same on its own merit without being prejudiced by this order.

(Alok Kumar, J)

manish/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

