

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9781 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-118 Year-2025 Thana- KHUTAUNA District- Madhubani

Ram Kumar Roy S/o- Ghughar Roy R/v- Sihula Ps- Khutauna Dist-
Madhubani

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Hriday Narayan Harshit

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Chandra Bhushan Prasad- A.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

2 30-03-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and
learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in anticipation of his
arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under
Sections 329(3), 329(4), 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109(1),
352, 351(2), 3(5) of the B.N.S.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that
the petitioner is a person with clean antecedent and the
informant alleges that eleven named accused persons on
01.08.2025 at 8.00 P.M. came to his house and said that as per
measurement, the land belongs to them, thus asked to vacate the
land. On objection, Krishnadeo Roy pointed pistol while
petitioner assaulted by rod causing injury on head and back,
thereafter accused persons assaulted his son causing injury on



back of right hand and shoulder and when villagers gathered, they fled leaving behind their scooty.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant. It is next submitted that from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that on account of dispute relating to land, the occurrence is alleged to have taken place. It is also submitted that no doubt, petitioner is alleged to have assaulted the informant by rod causing injury on head and back, but then, the injury suffered by the informant has been opined to be simple in nature as would manifest from Annexure-2 to the anticipatory bail application. It is next submitted that petitioner is not a criminal and the blow is not alleged to have been repeated.

5. Learned A.P.P. Sri Chandra Bhushan Prasad opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and taking into consideration the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner, the petitioner, above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on bail on his furnishing bail-bonds in



the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor Court in connection with Khutauna P. S. Case No.118 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 482(2) of the B.N.S.

7. The application stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

