

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.11099 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-205 Year-2025 Thana- IMAMGANJ District- Gaya

1. Amul Miya @ Anul Miya, Son of Gulambari Miya Resident of village- Chhakarbandha P.S- Imamganj, Dist- Gaya Jee
2. Md. Zahid Alam @ Md. Zahid Miya, Son of Kamaruddin Ansari @ Kamaruddin Miya Resident of village- Chhakarbandha P.S- Imamganj, Dist- Gaya Jee
3. Md. Shane Ali @ Pappu Miya, Son of Zakir Miyan Resident of village- Chhakarbandha P.S- Imamganj, Dist- Gaya Jee

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Shailesh Kumar Singh, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ajay Kumar Jha, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SHAILENDRA SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 26-02-2026

1. Heard the parties.

2. The petitioners apprehend their arrest in connection with Imamganj P. S. Case No. 205 of 2025 registered for the offence(s) punishable under Section(s) 191(2), 190, 126(2), 118(1), 117(2), 109, 303(2), 352 and 351(2) of the BNS.

3. The main submissions advanced by the learned counsel for the petitioners are that as per the FIR, on the alleged day and time of occurrence, a Panchayat meeting was being held in between both the parties with regard to some land dispute in which dispute arose in between them and thereafter,



the alleged occurrence is said to have taken place but it is clearly evident that the alleged occurrence of *marpit* was not intentional, however, two co-accused persons, namely, Irshad Ansari and Zubair Ansari against whom allegations of similar nature have been made as against petitioner Md. Zahid Miya, have been granted the relief of anticipatory bail by the trial court but the petitioners' prayer has been rejected and further, the injuries sustained by injured persons have been opined to be simple in nature which shows that there was a simple *marpit* in between both the parties. It is lastly submitted that all the petitioners have no criminal antecedent.

4. Learned APP appearing for the State has opposed the bail prayer of the petitioners.

5. In the facts and circumstances of this case and considering the aforesaid submissions, the pleas taken by the petitioners in their application coupled with the genesis of the occurrence and the nature of injuries sustained by the injured persons as stated above as well as the fair and clean antecedent of the petitioners, this court is inclined to grant them the relief of anticipatory bail. Accordingly, let the petitioners named-above, in the event of their arrest/surrender before the learned court below within a period of six weeks from today, be



released on anticipatory bail in connection with Imamganj P. S.
Case No. 205 of 2025 on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 20,000/-
(Rupees Twenty Thousand) each with two sureties of the like
amount each to the satisfaction of the Court concerned, subject
to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the
BNSS.

(Shailendra Singh, J)

Rajiv/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

