

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.3817 of 2026

=====

Swati Singh daughter of Shyam Sundar Singh, wife of Deepak Kumar Singh,
resident of village-Panchgachia, P.S.- Bihra, District- Saharsa.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar through the Principal Secretary, Department of Revenue and Land Reforms, Govt. of Bihar, Patna.
2. The Secretary Road Construction Department, Govt of Bihar, Patna.
3. The Divisional Commissioner Koshi Division, Saharsa.
4. The District Magistrate, Saharsa.
5. The competent Authority cum District Land Acquisition Officer, Saharsa.
6. The Circle Officer Kahra, District- Saharsa.
7. The Executive Engineer Road Construction Department, Saharsa.
8. The Union of India through the Secretary Department of Road Transport and Highways, New Delhi.
9. The Director Ministry of Road Transport and Highways, New Delhi.
10. The Chairman National Highways Authority of India, New Delhi.
11. The Project Director National Highways Authority of India, Project Implement Unit Begusarai.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Amarnath Jha, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Mr. Sumit Kr. Singh, AC to GA-2
For the NHAI	:	Dr. Maurya Vijay Chandra, Advocate
		Ms. Preety Ranjan, Advocate
For the UOI	:	Mr. Anshay Bahadur Mathur, CGC

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 30-03-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the
following relief(s):

*“(I) For issuance of an appropriate writ
setting aside the order dated 25/6/2024 passed by
the Commissioner Koshi Division Saharsa in*



Arbitration Case No. 78/2021 whereby and Whereunder the request of the petitioner for payment of compensation considering her land appertaining to Mauja Patuaha, Thana NO. 187, Khata NO. 152, Plot NO. 1820, Area- 0.08508 Acre, Circle Kahara District- Saharsa as residential in nature which was acquired for the project NH107 (Maheshkhunt Sonbarsa Raj Madhepra Purnea section) from kilometre 30.150 to 83.750 has been rejected.

(II) For declaration that the petitioner is entitled to compensation for her Land so acquired considering her land as, residential in nature in lieu of agricultural in nature.

(III) For any other relief(s)/order(s) to which the petitioner may be found entitled in the facts and circumstances of the case.”

3. After some arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Amarnath Jha submits that the petitioner shall be exploring the legal remedy against the order passed by the Arbitrator-cum-Commissioner, Koshi Division, Saharsa.

4. Learned State counsel has no objection to it.



5. In that background, allowing the petitioner to approach the appropriate Authority/Court for the redressal of the grievance, the writ petition stands disposed of.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Adnan/-

U			
---	--	--	--

