

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8296 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-258 Year-2025 Thana- ALAMNAGAR District- Madhepura

1. Sanjit Mandal S/o Late Bhola Mandal R/o Village - Navgachhiya Basa, Ward no. 19, P.S - Alamnagar, District - Madhepura
2. Uday Mandal @ Uday Kumar Mandal S/o Late Bhola Mandal R/o Village - Navgachhiya Basa, Ward no. 19, P.S - Alamnagar, District - Madhepura
3. Anand Mandal @ Anand Kumar @ Dharam Kumar S/o Ghanshyam Mandal R/o Village - Navgachhiya Basa, Ward no. 19, P.S - Alamnagar, District - Madhepura

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Priyadarshi Pankaj Raj Anand, Adv.

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Meena Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SOURENDRA PANDEY

ORAL ORDER

2 17-02-2026 Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned A.P.P. for the State.

2. The petitioners are apprehending their arrest in connection with Alamnagar P.S. Case No. 258 of 2025 registered for the offence(s) under Section(s) 191(2), 191(3), 190, 126(2), 115(2), 118(1), 109(1), 352 and 351(2) of the



Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (B.N.S.).

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioners and others are alleged to have assaulted the informant as well as his father and causing injuries to other persons also.

4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have falsely been implicated in a case which had started for a very petty matter. It has been submitted that it was the informant's father who had initially slapped the nephew of one Ghanshyam Mandal and upon which an altercation took place and the incident was reported. It has further been submitted that for the same incident, there is case and counter case lodged by the parties and even the injuries have not been discussed in the impugned order, however, it appears that two persons are said to have been injured. It has next been submitted that there is no specific allegation of overt act against the petitioners. It has lastly been submitted that petitioner No. 3 has got no criminal antecedent, whereas petitioner Nos. 1 and 2 carry one case and three case respectively against their names.

5. The learned A.P.P. for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners and has submitted that the petitioners have been involved in assault of many persons, wherein two persons have



been injured.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the submissions advanced on behalf of the parties, let the petitioners, above-named, be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the concerned Court/successor Court within a period of four weeks from today, on their furnishing bail bond in the sum of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the concerned Court/successor Court where the case is pending in connection with Alamnagar P.S. Case No. 258 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 read with corresponding Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 (B.N.S.S.) as well as subject to the following conditions :

(i) One of the bailors of the petitioners shall be their close relative and the other shall be a local resident.

(ii) The petitioners shall remain physically present before the concerned Court on each and every date of the trial and shall cooperate in the investigation.

(iii) In case of absence for two consecutive occasions or in violation of the terms of the bail, the bail bond of the petitioners will be liable to be cancelled by the concerned Court.

(iv) If the petitioners, in future, is found to be involved in similar nature of offence(s), the prosecution



shall be at liberty to move for cancellation of their bail bond.

(v) The concerned Court shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in case, at any stage, it is found that he has concealed their criminal antecedent, the Court concerned shall take necessary steps for cancellation of their bail bond. However, the acceptance of bail bond in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. It is made clear that the observations, if any, made in this order, shall be of no bearing during the trial.

8. The application stands allowed.

(Sourendra Pandey, J)

Praveen-II/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

