

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8829 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-197 Year-2025 Thana- Mufassil District- Purnia

Bhuttan Ray S/o Late Guru Ray R/o Pagalwbari, Ghatwar tola, ward no 8,
PS- Manihari, Distt- Katihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar Bihar
2. X W/o Y R/o Diwanganj Panchayat Dimiya, ward no. 10, P.S.- Muffasil,
Distt.- Purnia

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Sanjeev Kumar Singh

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Yogendra Kumar Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP KUMAR
ORAL ORDER

4 14-05-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with
Muffasil P.S. Case No. 197 of 2025 registered for the offence
punishable under Sections 96 and 3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya
Sanhita and Section 6 of the POCSO Act.

3. As per the prosecution case, the petitioner is said to
have abducted the daughter of the informant.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this
case. The petitioner is in custody since 18.08.2025 having
clean antecedent.



5. Learned APP appearing for the State opposes the prayer for regular bail of the petitioner.

6. From the statement of the victim girl, it appears that the victim girl had eloped with the petitioner and later got married with him.

7. Considering the aforesaid fact, let the petitioner, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District & Additional Sessions Judge-VI-cum-Special Judge (POCSO), Purnia/ concerned Court below in connection with Muffasil P.S. Case No. 197 of 2025.

8. It is, however, clarified that the observations made herein are limited to the adjudication of the present bail application and shall not prejudice the case of the petitioner at any subsequent stage of the trial and this Court has not express any opinion on the merits of the case.

(Sandeep Kumar, J)

P. Kumar

U		T	
---	--	---	--

