

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10074 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-62 Year-2025 Thana- SUGAULI District- East Champaran

1. Sunita devi W/o Sanjay Paswan R/o Village - Pajiyarwa, P.S - Sugauli, District - East Champaran
2. Sanoj Paswan S/o Lalbabu Paswan @ Lalbun Paswan R/o Village - Pajiyarwa, P.S - Sugauli, District - East Champaran
3. Gunjan Paswan S/o Nandlal Paswan R/o Village - Pajiyarwa, P.S - Sugauli, District - East Champaran
4. Mohit Paswan S/o Sanjay Paswan R/o Village - Pajiyarwa, P.S - Sugauli, District - East Champaran

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr.Abhishek Kumar
		Miss Harsha Shashwat
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr.Rana Randhir Singh

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

- 2 15-04-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned APP for the State.
2. The petitioners seek bail in anticipation of their arrest in a case registered for the offences punishable under Sections 30(a) and 45 of the Excise Act.
3. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have antecedent of one case under the Excise Act and petitioner no.1 is a woman and the allegation is of recovery of 30 litres of liquor from a meat and snack shop of Sanjay Paswan.



4. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioners were not arrested from the spot, as such, nothing was recovered from their conscious possession and even alleged recovery is from a shop, which is of the relative of the petitioners, as such, they came to be implicated at the instance of Chaukidar with whom Sanjay on an inimical term. It is further submitted that the petitioners have been implicated only with a view to coerce Sanjay into submission.

5. Learned A.P.P. opposes the anticipatory bail application.

6. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioners, the petitioners, above-named, in the event of their arrest or surrender before the learned Court below within a period of six weeks, is directed to be released on provisional anticipatory bail on their furnishing bail-bonds in the sum of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) each with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/ successor Court in connection with Sugauli P. S. Case No.62 of 2025, subject to the conditions laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

7. The application stands allowed.

8. It is made clear that the learned trial Court after



accepting the provisional bail bonds of the petitioners shall verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioners and in the event, if it is found that petitioners have antecedent of more than one case, then it would be presumed that petitioners for the purposes of obtaining anticipatory bail had concealed their antecedent before this Court, in that event, the present provisional anticipatory bail order shall not be confirmed, but if on verification, it is found that petitioners have antecedent of one case only, in that event, the provisional anticipatory bail order shall be confirmed forthwith.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

vikash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

