

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8574 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-169 Year-2022 Thana- COMPLAINT CASE - UDA-
KISHUNGANJ District- Madhepura

=====

Lochan Kumar Son of Late Dinesh Ram Resident of Village- Lalganj, Ward
No. 11, P.S.- Mohanpur, District- Purnea

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Mohni Kumari Wife of Lochan Kumar, D/o Shankar Ram Resident of
Village- Ghughali Mandal Tola, Ward No. 10, P.S.- Alamnagar, Dist.-
Madhepura

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner	:	Mr.Alok Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the State	:	Mr.Mukesh Kumar Singh, APP
For the O.P.-2	:	None

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PRABHAT KUMAR SINGH
ORAL ORDER

4 30-06-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
A.P.P. for the State. Despite valid service of notice, nobody
appears on behalf of opposite party no. 2.

2. The petitioner, husband of the opposite party no. 2,
apprehends his arrest in a case registered for the offence under
Sections 341, 323, 354, 354(B), 379, 380, 498-A, 504, 506, 34
of the Indian Penal Code and Section 3 / 4 of the Dowry
Prohibition Act.

3. Allegation against petitioner is of matrimonial
cruelty and demand for dowry.

4. While denying the allegations made in the present
petition, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case merely because he happens to be husband of opposite party no. 2. At no point of time, petitioner demanded any dowry or committed torture with opposite party no. 2. In fact, the opposite party no. 2 herself has left the house of petitioner and solemnized second marriage with one Navneet Kumar. Moreover, the case is triable by the learned Magistrate. The petitioner has further relied upon the judgment of this Court in the case of *Md. Naimul Haque Ansari @ Naimul Haque Ansari & Ors. Vs. The State of Bihar*, reported in *2006(3) PLJR 182*.

5. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances, in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of eight weeks from today, let this petitioner, as named above, be enlarged on bail on furnishing bail bond of **Rs.10,000/-** (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned S.D.J.M.-I Udakishunganj, District - Madhepura in connection with Complaint Case No. 169 of 2022, subject to the conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./ Section 482 of the B.N.S.S.

(Prabhat Kumar Singh, J)

anay

U		T	
---	--	---	--

