

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.493 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-12 Year-2025 Thana- SC/ST District- Muzaffarpur

Arjun Kumar Son of Kapildev Singh Resident of Village- Basuchak
Sekhauna, PO and PS- Saraiya, District- Muzaffarpur,

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Gorakh Ram Son of Lakshman ram Resident Of Village- Pirapur urf
Shahabad, Po- Jalalpur, Ps- Lalganj, Dist- Vaishali at hajipur

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Pankaj Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Ms. Usha Kumari 1, Special PP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 21-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the appellant, learned
Special P.P. for the State and the learned counsel appearing on
behalf of the informant.

2. In view of the grounds taken in the limitation
application, delay of 121 days in filing the appeal is condoned,
as such, I.A. No. 01 of 2026 is allowed.

3. This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the
Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of
Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST
Act”) against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide
order dated 03.07.2025 in A.B.P. No. 1999 of 2025 passed by
the learned Special Judge S.C./S.T. (POA) Act, Muzaffarpur in



connection with Muzaffarpur SC/ST P.S. Case No. 12 of 2025 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(4), 336(3), 3(5), 115, 126(2) and 352 of the BNS, 2023 as well as Sections 3(1) (r) and 3(1) (s) of the SC/ST Act.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant has antecedent of one case and the informant alleges that accused persons took Rs. 10 Lakhs on pretext of getting government job for his son and gave four joining letters of Forest Guard in Forest Department, Uttarakhand, further appellant issued cheque of Rs. 4,50,000/-, but same on presentation for encashment bounced, next alleges that the accused persons including the appellant called the informant and his son to their house on pretext of returning the money and when informant and his son went to their house they were abused and ousted from the house.

5. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant has been falsely implicated in the instant case by the informant.

6. At this stage, the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant submits that he has instructions not to oppose the appeal.

7. In view of the submissions made by the learned



counsel for the appellant, the order dated 03.07.2025 in A.B.P. No. 1999 of 2025 **is hereby set aside** and the appellant above-named, in the event of his arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from today, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs. 5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending/successor court in connection with Muzaffarpur SC/ST P.S. Case No. 12 of 2025 subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482 (2) of the BNSS.

8. Accordingly, the appeal stands allowed.

9. Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Rishabh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

