

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.504 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-395 Year-2025 Thana- SHAHPUR PATORI District- Samastipur

Kiran Devi Son of Ajit Sah Resident of Dharmpur Bande, P.S.- Shahpur
Patori, District - Samastipur, Bihar.

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s : Mr. Keshav Bhardwaj, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Sadanand Paswan, Spl.P.P.

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE SATYAVRAT VERMA
ORAL ORDER

4 20-07-2026 1. Heard learned counsel for the appellant, learned
Special Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the informant.

2. This is an appeal under Section 14-A(2) of the
Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of
Atrocities) Act, 1989 (hereinafter referred to as the “SC/ST
Act”) against the refusal of prayer for anticipatory bail vide
order dated 16.01.2026 in A.B.P. No. 2790 of 2025 passed by
the learned Special Judge, SC/ST, Samastipur in connection
with Shahpur Patori P.S. Case No. 395 of 2025 registered under
Sections 126(2), 115(2), 117(2), 109, 324(4), 76, 351(2) and
3(5) of the BNS as well as Sections 3(1)(r) and 3(1)(s) of the
SC/ST Act.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the
appellant submits that appellant is a person with clean



antecedent and is a woman and from perusal of the allegation as alleged in the FIR, it would manifest that thrust of the allegation is against Rohit Sah and appellant, being related to Rohit Sah, came to be implicated in the instant case by the informant with general and omnibus allegation of abuse and assault. It is further submitted that even presuming what has been alleged is true without admitting then the entire occurrence took place at the house of the informant, thus, was not in public view.

4. Learned Special Public Prosecutor for the State and learned counsel appearing on behalf of the informant opposed the prayer for anticipatory bail of the appellant.

5. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the appellant and taking into consideration the fact that appellant is a woman as well as no specific allegation is alleged, let the appellant, above-named, in the event of her arrest or surrender within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the order, be released on anticipatory bail on furnishing bail bonds of Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned Trial Court where the case is pending in connection with the aforesaid case, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C./482(2) of the



B.N.S.S.

6. Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and
this appeal stands allowed.

(Satyavrat Verma, J)

Kundan/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

