

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**Miscellaneous Jurisdiction Case No.1800 of 2018**

**In**  
**Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.22211 of 2014**

=====

Raj Kishore Yadav Son of Late Sitab Lal Yadav Resident of Village and P.O.-  
Chirand, P.S.- Doriganj, District- Saran.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State Of Bihar.
2. Subrat Kumar Sen, District Magistrate, Saran.
3. Vinod Kumar Singh, Land Acquisition Officer, Saran at Chapra.
4. Chandrama Rai Son of Jag Narain Rai Resident of Village- Lodipur, P.S.-  
Doriganj, District- Saran.
5. Pushpa Devi Wife of Jai Ram Rai Resident of Village- Lodipur, Chirand,  
P.S.- Doriganj, District- Saran.

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ajay Kr Singh No.1, Advocate  
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Khurshid Alam, AAG-12

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY**  
**ORAL ORDER**

2      30-04-2026                      Heard Mr.Ajay Kr Singh No.1, learned counsel for the  
  
petitioner and the State.

2. The present petition has been preferred for the  
following relief/s:

*(i) for starting contempt proceeding against  
the opposite parties who has disobeyed the  
order dated 12.10.2017 passed in C.W.J.C.  
No. 22211 of 2014.*

3. The writ court in C.W.J.C. No. 22211 of 2014 (Raj  
Kishore Yadav vs. The State of Bihar & Ors.) in view of the



statement made by the petitioner that he has order of title Court allowed to represent the Land Acquisition Officer, Saran at Chapra.

4. The writ court's order having not been complied, the present contempt petition was filed.

5. A show cause came on behalf of the opposite party no.3, the District Land Acquisition Officer, Saran at Chapra and the learned State counsel has taken this Court to memo no. 165 dated 24.03.2025 in which after issuance of notice to the petitioner and the opposite party who accepted the award amount recorded that the document shows otherwise and in that background, the petitioner may approach the competent Civil Court to confirm his title.

6. The show cause was handed over to the learned counsel for the petitioner on 04.04.2025 and there is no rebuttal to it.

7. The order-sheet of the aforesaid Civil Court is also not record to show whether the aforesaid order relates to the same land.

8. In that background, allowing the petitioner to agitate the matter afresh either by challenging the said order before a competent writ Court and/or to move before a



competent Civil Court as recorded in the order-sheet, the present  
contempt petition is disposed of.

**(Rajiv Roy, J)**

Ravi/-

|   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|
| U |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|

