IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8217 of 2026

CNR No. BRHC010110452026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-130 Year-2024 Thana- PUSA District- Samastipur

==========

Bhola Kumar S/o- Sri Ashok Mahto Resident of Village- Agrail Khurd, P.S.- Baligaon, Dist- Vaishali

... ... Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Sanjeeta Devi W/O- Dev Kumar R/O vill- Dighra, P.S.- Pusa, District- Samastipur

... ... Opposite Party/s

==========

Appearance :
For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Saurav Anand, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Ms. Anita Kumari, APP
For the Informant : Mr. Ankit Kumar Jha, Advocate

==========

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RUDRA PRAKASH MISHRA

ORAL ORDER

9 27-10-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned counsel for the informant and learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks bail in connection with Pusa P.S. Case No. 130 of 2024 instituted for the offences under Sections 137(2), 96 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

3. Accusation against the petitioner is of kidnapping the informant’s daughter.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that vide order dated 18.04.2026, the petitioner has already been enlarged on provisional bail till the final adjudication of the matter. He further submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case. Petitioner is not named in the

WEB COPY

F.I.R. The name of the petitioner transpired in this case during investigation. He further submitted that nothing has been whispered against the petitioner in Section 183 BNSS statement of the victim. It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody since 19.12.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. Learned A.P.P. for the State and learned counsel for the informant vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of bail to the petitioner. He further submitted that police, after completion of the investigation, submitted charge-sheet under Sections 137(2), 96 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 8 of the POCSO Act.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, there being no material against the petitioner in Section 183 BNSS statement of the victim as also the period of custody undergone by the petitioner, this Court is inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

7. Accordingly, the provisional bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 18.04.2026 stands confirmed.

(Rudra Prakash Mishra, J)

Alok Verma/-

U		T	

WEB COPY