

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA**  
**CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.9583 of 2026**

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-93 Year-2025 Thana- CIVIL LINE District- Gaya

=====

Anjali Kumari @ Anjali Singh Son of Om Prakash Singh R/o Vill. - Fatehpur  
Shahbaz, P.S. - Raghopur, Dist. - Vaishali.

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Lav Kumar s/o- Ram Govind Sharma R/o- Nutan nagar PS- Civil Line, dist-  
Gaya

... .. Opposite Party/s

=====

**Appearance :**

|                      |   |                            |
|----------------------|---|----------------------------|
| For the Petitioner/s | : | Mr. Priya Ranjan, Advocate |
| For the State        | : | Mr. Nand Kumar, APP        |

=====

**CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RANJAN KUMAR JHA**  
**ORAL ORDER**

3      22-07-2026                      Heard learned counsel appearing on behalf of the  
  
petitioner and learned Additional Public Prosecutor appearing  
  
on behalf of the State.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in  
connection with Civil Lines P.S. Case No. 93 of 2025 registered  
for the offences punishable under Sections 316(2), 318(4),  
303(2) and 3(5) of the BNS.

3. The allegation in the FIR is that the petitioner and  
one Prachi Chaurasiya were employed by a school for the  
collection of fees. On 17.02.2025, at about 2:00 p.m., the  
petitioner and the co-accused suddenly left the school. Upon  
enquiry, it was found that Rs.30,000/- was missing from the fee



collection amount. It is further alleged that the petitioner and the co-accused had misappropriated a total sum of Rs.4,00,000/- in last 6-7 months.

4. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the present case. It is submitted that the petitioner and the co-accused had a dispute with the school management regarding the payment of their salaries. As the management failed to resolve the issue, they left the school premises on 18.02.2025. Thereafter, the management lodged the present FIR on 21.02.2025. Learned counsel further draws the attention of this Court to the petitioner's employment agreement with the school, which shows that it was executed on 04.10.2024. It is submitted that the allegations of misappropriation for the preceding six to seven months are, therefore, not sustainable. Learned counsel also draws the attention of this Court to the order dated 04.02.2026 passed by the Coordinate Bench in Cr. Misc. No. 7504 of 2026, whereby anticipatory bail was granted to the co-accused, Prachi Chaurasiya. It is further submitted that the petitioner is a teenage girl and has no criminal antecedents..

5. The learned counsel for State duly assisted by learned counsel for informant vehemently opposed the prayer



for bail.

6. Considering the aforesaid submissions of the parties, the materials available on record, particularly the young age of the petitioner, her clean antecedents, and that FIR itself has employment agreement dated 04.10.2024, and the fact that anticipatory bail has already been granted to the co-accused, Prachi Chaurasiya @ Prachi Raj, let the petitioner named above be released on anticipatory bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the Court below within a period of four weeks from today, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each of the satisfaction of learned Court of A.C.J.M., V Gaya/concerned court, in connection with Civil Lines P.S. Case No. 93 of 2025, subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

**(Ranjan Kumar Jha, J)**

rishabh/-

|   |  |   |  |
|---|--|---|--|
| U |  | T |  |
|---|--|---|--|

