

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.8412 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-132 Year-2024 Thana- UDAKISHUNGANJ District-
Madhepura

Sumit Kumar Singh Son of Upendra Singh Resident of Village- Adabari Tola
Patraha, P.S.- Choutham, District- Khagaria

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Surya Narayan Yadav, Adv.
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Shyam Bihari Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 17-02-2026 Heard the parties.

2. The petitioner is apprehending his arrest in connection with Udakishunganj P.S. Case No. 132 of 2024 for the offence under sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act.

3. As per the prosecution story, a two wheeler was intercepted and there is recovery/seizure of 65 liter country made liquor. Petitioner being the owner, the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he being the owner, got implicated. Nothing has been recovered from his conscious possession, he had given the motorcycle to Nitish Kumar who was caught with it.

5. Further, learned counsel for the petitioner relied on



the judgment of the Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019 (2) PLJR 1089** wherein it has been held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising out of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under Section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that he is the owner of the vehicle.

7. Taking into account the submissions of the parties and the judgment in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)**, as also that the petitioner do not have criminal antecedent, nothing has been recovered from his conscious possession, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail with conditions.

8. However, if it is found that contrary to the statement made in paragraph 3, the petitioner has criminal antecedent, the present order shall become infructuous.

9. Let the petitioner be released on bail in the event of arrest or surrender within a period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten thousand) with two sureties of like amount each to the



satisfaction of learned Additional Sessions Judge-V-cum-Special Judge (Excise)-I, Madhepura in connection with Udakishunganj P.S. Case No. 132 of 2024 subject to the following conditions:

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner(s) shall be appearing before the Police Station as and when required for co-operating in the investigation;

(iii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iv) the petitioner shall appear before the concerned police station every fortnight for six months to mark his attendance and after the end of the period, the certificate shall be submitted before the Trial Court failing which steps shall be taken for the cancellation of the bail bonds;

(v) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;



(vi) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Vijay Singh/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

