

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10619 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-477 Year-2022 Thana- KANTI District- Muzaffarpur

Kamran Rahmani S/o- Habib Mustafa Rahmani, Resident of Vill-
Damodarpur, P.O-Damodarpur, P.S-Kanti, Dist- Muzaffarpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Ranjan Kumar Sinha, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Md. Ataur Rahman, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 12-03-2026 Heard Mr. Ranjan Kumar Sinha, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Md. Ataur
Rahman, learned APP for the State.

2. The petitioner seeks pre-arrest bail in connection
with Kanti P.S. Case No. 477/2022 registered for the offence(s)
punishable under Sections 385 and 387 of the IPC.

3. As per the allegation made in the FIR, wife of the
petitioner has allegedly not made payment of Rs.5,17,224/-
which led to disconnection of the electric connection.
Allegation is that the petitioner threatened on phone to the
Junior Engineer (Electricity)/informant and the lineman to
restore the electric connection which has been disconnected due
to non-payment of dues.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the



petitioner submitted that petitioner is innocent and due to enmity with wife of the petitioner, who is *Sarpach*, with the officer of the North Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd., petitioner has been roped in this case on the basis of false allegations. There is no mention of phone number in the FIR, from which the petitioner allegedly threatened the informant and the lineman to restore the electric connection.

5. Learned APP on behalf of the State vehemently opposed the prayer for grant of pre-arrest bail. No one has appeared on behalf of the North Bihar Power Distribution Company Ltd.

6. Having heard the rival submissions made on behalf of the parties, as well as, having perused the allegation made in the FIR, the dispute relates to realizing due amount of a sum of Rs.5,17,224/- on account of the electricity consumed. The informant instead of taking action in accordance with the Indian Electricity Act, 2003 has resorted to lodge FIR against the petitioner on the basis that the petitioner had allegedly threatened him of dire consequences telephonically. However, in absence of any evidence in support of the same and action taken as per the provision of the Indian Electricity Act, I find that the petitioner has, prima facie, made out a case to be released on



pre-arrest bail.

7. The petitioner, above named, is directed to be released on pre-arrest bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the learned District Court **on furnishing of Bank guarantee of Rs.1,00,000/- (rupees one lakh)** within a period of four weeks from today and on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned J.M. 1st Class, Muzaffarpur / Concerned Court in connection with Kanti P.S. Case No. 477/2022 , subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS.

8. The learned District Court is directed to verify the criminal antecedent of the petitioner and if it is found that the petitioner is involved in some other cases, as what has been stated in paragraph no.3 of the bail application, this order will automatically lose its force.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

