

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10427 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-79 Year-2025 Thana- TATARPUR District- Bhagalpur

Md. Sagar S/o- Md. Jawed R/v- SArai, Rain Tola Ps- Tatarpur Dist-
Bhagalpur

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s	:	Mr. Sharda Nand Mishra, Advocate Mr. Dhananjay Kumar Gupta, Advocate Ms. Isha Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s :		Mr. Uday Pratap Singh, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ASHOK KUMAR PANDEY
ORAL ORDER

2 23-02-2026 Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned
APP for the State.

2. The petitioner has prayed for regular bail in a case registered for the offence punishable under sections 191(1), 190, 115(2), 117(2), 109(1) and 303(2) of BNS.

3. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner along with others being armed with weapon arrived. It is specifically alleged that the petitioner assaulted the informant with dagger on his various parts such as cheeks, shoulder, head and neck.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is innocent and has committed no offence. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that from perusal of the



order of the learned trial court, it is clear that the injury report and supplementary injury report of the informant show that the nature of injury is grievous caused by hard and blunt object and show fracture of right zygomatic bone and No intracranial lesion and lacerated wound on parietal region measuring to 2cm x 0.5 cm. Learned counsel has further submitted that from perusal of the FIR, it is clear that the allegation is that the petitioner has assaulted with dagger which is a sharp cutting weapon whereas the injury report shows that the injury was caused by hard and blunt object. There is also a counter version of this case. Learned counsel has also submitted that the allegation and the injury report do not correlate with each other. Moreover, the petitioner is languishing in judicial custody since 19.09.2025.

5. Learned APP appearing for the State has vehemently opposed the prayer of regular bail and has submitted that the petitioner is having criminal antecedent of four cases.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, this court is inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail. The above named petitioner is directed to be released on bail in connection with



Tatarpur P.S. Case No. 79 of 2025 on furnishing bail bond of
Rs.10,000/- (ten thousand) with two sureties of the like amount
each to the satisfaction of learned J.M.F.C., Bhagalpur.

(Ashok Kumar Pandey, J)

shubham/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

