

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL APPEAL (SJ) No.463 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-161 Year-2025 Thana- CHAORI District- Bhojpur

Manu Yadav @ Abhimanu Yadav @ Abhimanu Kumar S/o Late Jamindra
Yadav Resident of Village- Diliya, P.S - Chauri, District- Bhojpur

... .. Appellant/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. Ram Ayodhaya Ram S/o Gorakh Ram R/o Village - Diliya, P.S - Chauri,
District - Bhojpur

... .. Respondent/s

Appearance :

For the Appellant/s	:	Mr. Raju Kumar Singh, Advocate
For the Respondent/s	:	Ms. Usha Kumari 1, SPP
For the Resp. No. 2	:	Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh, Advocate

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH KUMAR VERMA
ORAL ORDER

3 18-04-2026 Heard Mr. Raju Kumar Singh, learned counsel for
the appellant, Mr. Manoj Kumar Singh, learned counsel
appearing on behalf of the Respondent No. 2 as well as Ms.
Usha Kumari 1, learned Spl.P.P. for the State.

2. This is an appeal under Sections 14(A)(2) against
refusal of the prayer for regular bail by order dated 03.1.2026
passed by the learned Court of Special Judge, SC/ST cum
Additional Sessions Judge1, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with
Chauri P.S. Case No. 161 of 2025, F.I.R. dated 09.10.2025
registered under Sections 126(2), 115(2), 109, 352, 351(2) and
3(5) of the BNS, 2023 and Sections 3(1)(r)/(s), 3(2)(v) of the
Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Act (Prevention of



Atrocities) Act.

3. According to the prosecution case, this appellant along with other accused persons were involved in the murder of the informant's brother and for the said incident the informant went to the court to depose as witness and thereafter, this appellant along with other accused persons came to his house and abused and assaulted him and also threatened him for dire consequences.

4. Learned counsel for the appellant submits that appellant is innocent and he has falsely been implicated in the present case. He further submits that it appears from the FIR that the place of occurrence is the house of the informant, so no case is made out under the SC/ST Act against the appellant. Apart from that there is no specific allegation of assault or overt act attributed against the appellant rather there is general and omnibus allegation against all the accused persons including this appellant and the injury received by the injured person is simple in nature. He further submits that the police, after investigation, submitted charge sheet against the appellant. The appellant is in custody since 10.10.2025.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the Respondent No. 2 as well as learned Special Public Prosecutor



for the State have vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the appellant and submits that the appellant has actively participated in the present crime in question and apart from that the appellant carries one criminal antecedent other than the present one.

6. Considering the aforesaid facts and circumstances of the case, let the appellant, above named, be released on bail on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of learned Court of Special Judge, SC/ST cum Additional Sessions Judge1, Bhojpur at Ara in connection with Chauri P.S. Case No. 161 of 2025, with other following conditions:-

i. Appellant shall co-operate in the trial and shall be properly represented on each and every date fixed by the court and shall remain physically present as directed by the court and on his absence on two consecutive dates without sufficient reason, his bail bond shall be cancelled by the Court below.

ii. If the appellant tampers with the evidence or the witnesses, in that case, the prosecution will be at liberty to move for cancellation of bail.

iii. And further condition that the court below shall verify the criminal antecedent of the appellant and in case at any stage it is found that the appellant have concealed his



criminal antecedent, the court below shall take step for cancellation of bail bond of the appellant. However, the acceptance of bail bonds in terms of the above-mentioned order shall not be delayed for purpose of or in the name of verification.

7. Accordingly, the impugned order is set aside and this appeal stands allowed.

(Rajesh Kumar Verma, J)

Vanisha/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

