

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.10020 of 2026

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-472 Year-2023 Thana- RAJGIR District- Nalanda

Rudal Manjhi, S/o Madan Manjhi, Resident of Village- Badakar, P.S.- Rajgir,
District- Nalanda

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr. Satya Prakash, Advocate

For the Opposite Party/s : Mr. Vinod Shanker Modi, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PARTHA SARTHY
ORAL ORDER

5 08-07-2026 Heard learned counsel for the parties.

2. The petitioner has preferred this application for grant of regular bail in connection with Sessions Trial no.994 of 2025, arising out of Rajgir P.S. Case no.472 of 2023 registered under section 304B and 34 of the Indian Penal Code.

3. As per the prosecution case, the informant states that his daughter was married to the petitioner herein about 5 months ago. The petitioner used to insist that the daughter of the informant should get Rs.4,000/- from her parents. On not getting the same, she was abused and assaulted. It is further stated that ultimately the informant received information that his daughter had been killed. The informant states that he is convinced that his daughter's sister-in-law (*gotani*) and the petitioner have a hand in the occurrence.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case only for the reason that he happens to be the husband of the deceased. From the material that has transpired in course of investigation it has come that the daughter of the informant was not happy in her *sasural*, however it was the petitioner's insistence that she should stay there. The petitioner is in custody since 30.6.2025 and has no criminal antecedent.

5. The application for bail is opposed by learned A.P.P. for the State who submits that the petitioner is the husband of the deceased. The occurrence is said to have taken place only 5 months after the marriage and as per the postmortem report the cause of death is asphyxia due to hanging.

6. A report was called for from the learned trial Court. As per the report received contained in letter dated 15.6.2026 of the learned District and Additional Sessions Judge 2nd, Nalanda at Biharsharif charge was framed against the petitioner on 28.1.2026 and inspite of issuance of summons andailable warrants, no witness is appearing in the case nor any witness has been examined on behalf of the prosecution.

7. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and



taking into consideration the allegation against the petitioner in the F.I.R., the material that has transpired in course of investigation, the petitioner having remained in custody since 30.6.2025, charge having been framed in the learned trial Court on 28.1.2026 however no witness appearing to depose on behalf of the prosecution inspite of issuance of summons andailable warrants, the petitioner is directed to be enlarged on bail in connection with Sessions Trial no.994 of 2025 (arising out of Rajgir P.S. Case no.472 of 2023) on furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- (Rupees Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each to the satisfaction of the learned District and Additional Sessions Judge 2nd, Nalanda at Biharsharif.

(Partha Sarthy, J)

avinash/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

